

RESEARCH

Open Access



Study of impulsive fractional differential equation under Robin boundary conditions by topological degree method

Atta Ullah¹, Kamal Shah¹, Thabet Abdeljawad^{2,3,4*}, Rahmat Ali Khan¹ and Ibrahim Mahariq⁵

*Correspondence:

tabdeljawad@psu.edu.sa

²Department of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

³Department of Medical Research, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

This research work is dedicated to investigating a class of impulsive fractional order differential equations under the Robin boundary conditions via the application of topological degree theory (TDT). We establish some adequate results for the existence of at most one solution for the consider problem. Further, the whole analysis is illustrated by providing a pertinent example. We keep in mind that the conditions we develop by using TDT are much weaker than using ordinary fixed point theory. Hence TDT can be used as powerful tool for the theoretical analysis of many linear and nonlinear problems.

Keywords: Fractional differential equations; Impulsive problems; Robin boundary conditions; Topological degree theory

1 Introduction

In previous few decades, the area devoted to studying fractional calculus and derivatives and integrals of real or complex order has got proper attention. Fractional ordinary differential equations (FODEs) have numerous applications in the fields of physical science, biological sciences and engineering disciplines (for further details, see [1–7] and the references therein). The concerned area has the ability to model hereditary and memory process of many real world problems more comprehensively than classical derivatives and integral. Recently, some new differential operators of fractional order of nonsingular type have also attracted attention. Various researchers are working on it, it having also many applications in modeling real world problems. In this regard, some authors recently published very good work [8–10].

In real world problems, many phenomena face abrupt or sudden changes in their state of motion or rest. These abrupt changes are modeled by using impulsive differential equations. The area which addresses the aforementioned problems has been established very well in respect of ordinary derivatives and integrals. For the concerned investigations, researchers have used fixed point theory and nonlinear analysis tools. Further some results regarding numerical analysis corresponding to impulsive problems have also established [11, 12]. On the other hand the investigation of impulsive problems under the concept of

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

fractional calculus has also developed properly. The problem related to the moments of sudden changes like heartbeat, earthquake, temperature, shock, and so forth occurs in our daily life problems. All of these sudden changes are concerned with the impulsive differential equations, for these types of sudden changes the investigation of impulsive differential equations is an important tool for analysis. Large numbers of articles can be found in this regard [13–17].

An important aspect to be investigated of the physical problems is whether the problem physically exists or not. For the existence theory, fixed point theory has been utilized in the last many years. But one thing which restricted the application of fixed point theory is the requirement of strong conditions. Because when we deal with problems of integral or differential equations by fixed point theory, we first convert it to operator equations for which we search fixed points. To achieve this goal often we impose strong compact conditions on the concerned operator, which restricts the applicability of the area to a limited class of problems. Therefore it is necessary to search some more sophisticated methods and theories to relax the criteria. Therefore Mawhin [18] used TDT for the first time to deal with integral equations. Later on Isaia [19] used the same theory to investigate some integral equations. During 2012 Wang [20] investigated some classes of initial value problems of FODEs by using the TDT including the following problem of impulsive FODEs:

$$\begin{cases} D^\gamma \Psi(t) = h(t, \Psi(t)), & t \neq t_i, 0 < \gamma \leq 1, \\ \delta \Psi(t_i) = I_i(\Psi_i), \\ \Psi(0) = \Psi_0, \end{cases}$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$, h is a nonlinear function and $I_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonlinear map which is the size of the jump at t_i . As from present literature, the mentioned method has been used to investigate the usual initial and “boundary value problems” (BVPs) of FODEs (for details, see [21–23]).

On the other hand BVPs have many applications in engineering disciplines; see [24, 25]. The above-mentioned problems involve different kinds of boundary conditions like “Neumann boundary conditions and Dirichlet boundary conditions”. When the aforesaid boundary conditions are mixed then for the problem the mixed boundary conditions are called Robin boundary conditions (RBCs) or impedance BVPs. The concerned problems have many applications in heat transfer phenomena and electromagnetic theory. Further problems under RBCs are increasingly used in solving Sturm–Liouville equations which are largely used in engineering disciplines (see [26, 27]). Keeping in mind the mentioned literature, we investigate the following nonlinear problem of impulsive FODEs under RBCs for $t \in [0, T]$:

$$\begin{cases} D^\gamma \Psi(t) = h(t, \Psi(t)), & t \neq t_i, 1 < \gamma \leq 2, \\ \delta \Psi(t_i) = I_i(\Psi(t_i)), & \delta \Psi'(t_i) = J_j(\Psi(t_i)), \\ a\Psi(0) + b\Psi(T) = g_1(\Psi), & c\Psi'(0) + d\Psi'(T) = g_2(\Psi), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$, $0 < \gamma \leq 2$ and the nonlinear function h is continuous and I_j, J_j are nonlinear maps which determine the size of the jump at t_i , where $0 < t_1 < t_0 < t_2 < t_3 \cdots < t_l$ and $I_i(\Psi(t_i)) = \Psi(t_i^+) - \Psi(t_i^-)$, $J_j(\Psi'(t_i)) = \Psi'(t_i^+) - \Psi'(t_i^-)$, the notations $\Psi(t_i^+)$, $\Psi(t_i^-)$, and

$\Psi(t_i^-)$, $\Psi^-(t_i^-)$ are right and left limits, respectively, and D^γ represents the Caputo derivative of various orders where $1 < \gamma \leq 2$. We use TDT to establish some adequate results that ensure the existence of a solution to the considered problem. A pertinent example is given to demonstrate the main contribution.

2 Background materials

Some basic notions and results [1, 2] which we need for our analysis are given as follows.

Proposition 2.1 *The following statements hold:*

- (1) $\psi(H) = 0$, iff H is relatively compact;
- (2) ψ is of semi-norm, that is, $\psi(\lambda H) = |\lambda|\psi(H)$ and $\psi(H_1 + H_2) \leq \psi(H_1) + \psi(H_2)$;
- (3) $H_1 \subset H_2$ implies $\psi(H_1) \leq \psi(H_2)$ and $\psi(H_1 \cup H_2) = \max\{\psi(H_1), \psi(H_2)\}$;
- (4) $\psi(\text{conv } H) = \psi(H)$;
- (5) $\psi(H^-) = \psi(H)$.

Definition 2.1 Let $\omega \subset Y$ and $F : \omega \rightarrow Y$ a continuous bounded map, we say that F is ψ -Lipschitz if there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $\psi(F(H)) \leq k\psi(H)$, for all $H \subset \omega$. If $k < 1$, then we say that F is a strict ψ -contraction.

Proposition 2.2 If $F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4 : \omega \rightarrow Y$ are κ -Lipschitz maps with the constants m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 , respectively, then $F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4 : \omega \rightarrow Y$ are κ -Lipschitz with the constant $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4$.

Proposition 2.3 If $G : \omega \rightarrow Y$ is a compact mapping, then we call G ψ -Lipschitz with zero constant.

Proposition 2.4 If $G : \omega \rightarrow Y$ is Lipschitz with the constant k , then G is ψ -Lipschitz with the same constant k .

Theorem 2.1 Let $F : Y \rightarrow Y$ be ψ -condensing and

$$T = \{\Psi \in Y : \text{there exists } \lambda \in [0, T] \text{ such that } \Psi = \lambda F\Psi\}.$$

If T is a bounded set in Y , so there exists $r > 0$ such that $T \subset B_r(0)$, then

$$D(I - \lambda F, B_r(0), 0) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in [0, T].$$

Then F has at least one fixed point and the set of fixed points of F lies in $B_r(0)$.

Lemma 2.1 The FODE with $\gamma > 0$,

$$D^\gamma \Psi(t) = 0,$$

has a unique solution of the form $\Psi(t) = \sum_{n=0}^m c_n t^{n-1}$, and c_i are real constants and $n = [\gamma] + 1$.

Lemma 2.2 *The next consequence holds for FODE with $\gamma > 0$:*

$$I^\gamma D^\gamma x(t) = \Psi(t) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i t^i,$$

for arbitrary constant $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, m-1$, $m = [\gamma] + 1$.

The notation $Y = \{\Psi : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \Psi \in C(I')\}$ is used for the space. Further, $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$ is the Banach space under the norm $\|\Psi\| = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\Psi(t)|$.

3 The existence results for the considered problem

Here we define some hypotheses for the existence and uniqueness theory for the problem under consideration and we name the space $PC(J, R) = \mathcal{Y}$; is needed throughout this work.

(A₁) Let there for $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$ exist constants $K_{g_1}, K_{g_2} \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$|g_1(\Psi_1) - g_1(\Psi_2)| \leq K_{g_1} |\Psi_1 - \Psi_2|, \quad |g_2(\Psi_1) - g_2(\Psi_2)| \leq K_{g_2} |\Psi_1 - \Psi_2|.$$

(A₂) Let us for $\Psi \in \mathcal{Y}$ have some constants $C_{g_1}, M_{g_1}, C_{g_2}, M_{g_2} \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$|g_1(\Psi)| \leq C_{g_1} |\Psi| + M_{g_1}, \quad |g_2(\Psi)| \leq C_{g_2} |\Psi| + M_{g_2}.$$

(A₃) Let us for $\Psi \in \mathcal{Y}$ have some constants $C_f, M_f \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$|h(t, \Psi)| \leq C_f + |\Psi| M_f.$$

(A₄) Let us for $\Psi \in \mathbb{R}$ have some constants $C_1, M_1, C_2, M_2 \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$|I_f(\Psi)| \leq C_1 |\Psi| + M_1, \quad |J_f(\Psi)| \leq C_2 |\Psi| + M_2.$$

(A₅) Let $I_i, J_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let us have some constants $K_I^i, K_J^i \in [0, \frac{1}{m})$ such that

$$|I_i(\Psi_1) - I_i(\Psi_2)| \leq K_I^i |\Psi_1 - \Psi_2|, \quad |J_i(\Psi_1) - J_i(\Psi_2)| \leq K_J^i |\Psi_1 - \Psi_2|$$

and

$$|h(t, \Psi_1) - h(t, \Psi_2)| \leq K_\Psi |\Psi_1 - \Psi_2|,$$

for all $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Definition 3.1 A function $\Psi \in \mathcal{Y}$ with its γ -derivative that exists on $[0, T] - \{t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_m\}$ is said to be the solution of the considered fractional impulsive problem under RBCs if it verifies the considered equation (1.1).

Lemma 3.1 Let $\Psi \in \mathcal{Y}$ be the solution of the fractional impulsive problem with $\eta \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$\begin{cases} D^\gamma \Psi(t) = \eta(t), & t \neq t_i, 1 < \gamma \leq 2, \\ \delta \Psi(t_j) = I_j(\Psi(t_j)), & \delta \Psi'(t_j) = J_j(\Psi(t_j)), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, l, \\ a\Psi(0) + b\Psi(T) = g_1(\Psi), & c\Psi'(0) + d\Psi'(T) = g_2(\Psi), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

if and only is Ψ is the solution of the fractional impulsive integral equation as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{a+b} \left(\frac{a}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds - \frac{b}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{k-1}}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds \right) \\
 & + \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (t-t_j) + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} - \frac{b}{a+b} \sum_{j=1}^k (T-t_j) - \frac{td}{c+d} \right) \\
 & \times \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds \\
 & + \left(\frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} - \frac{td}{c+d} \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds + \frac{a}{a+b} \sum_{j=1}^k I_j(\Psi(t_j)) \\
 & + \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (t-t_j) + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} - \frac{b}{a+b} \sum_{j=1}^k (T-t_j) - \frac{td}{c+d} \right) \sum_{j=1}^k J_j(\Psi(t_j)) \\
 & \times \left(\frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} + \frac{t}{c+d} \right) g_2(\Psi) + \frac{g_1(\Psi)}{a+b}. \quad (3.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof Let Ψ is a solution of (3.1), then, for $\eta \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, $t \in [0, T]$, and using Lemma 2.2 for the given problem, we have two constants f_0, f_1 , that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi(t) &= I^\gamma \eta(t) - f_0 - f_1 t, \quad t \in [0, t_1], \\
 \Psi(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds - f_0 - f_1 t, \quad t \in [0, t_1]. \quad (3.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating we get

$$\Psi'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds - f_1, \quad t \in [0, t_1]. \quad (3.4)$$

Likewise, for $t \in (t_1, t_2]$, there are constants $\mathbf{d}_0, \mathbf{d}_1$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi(t) &= I^\gamma \eta(t) - \mathbf{d}_0 - \mathbf{d}_1(t-t_1), \quad t \in (t_1, t_2], \\
 \Psi(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_1}^t (t_1-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds - \mathbf{d}_0 - \mathbf{d}_1(t-t_1), \quad t \in [0, t_1]. \quad (3.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating, we get

$$\Psi'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{t_1}^t (t_1-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds - \mathbf{d}_1, \quad t \in (t_1, t_2], \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi(t_1^-) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds - f_0 - f_1 t_1, \quad t \in [0, t_1], \\
 \Psi(t_1^+) &= -f_0, \quad (3.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi'(t_1^-) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{t_1}^t (t_1-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds - \mathbf{d}_1, \quad t \in (t_1, t_2], \quad (3.8)$$

$$\Psi'(t_1^+) = -\mathbf{d}_1.$$

Next applying the impulsive conditions after simplification we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathbf{d}_0 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds - f_0 - f_1 t_1 + I_1(\Psi(t_1)), \\ -\mathbf{d}_1 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds - f_0 + J_1(\Psi(t_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Putting these values in the given equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t-t_1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds \\ &\quad + (t-t_1)J_1(\Psi(t_1)) + I_1(\Psi(t_1)) - f_0 - f_1 t. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Reiterating the same procedure generally for $t \in (t_{j-1}, t_j]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_1-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^k (t-t_j) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^k (t-t_j)J_j(\Psi(t_j)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^k I_j(\Psi(t_j)) - f_0 - f_1 t. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

In view of the RBCs and after simplification, we have the subsequent values for the constant f_0, f_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= \frac{b}{a+b} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^k (T-t_j) \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \eta(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^k (T-t_j)J_j(\Psi(t_j)) \\ &\quad + \left. \sum_{j=1}^k (T-t_j)I_j(\Psi(t_j)) \right) - \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds \right) - \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \sum_{j=1}^k J_j(\Psi(t_j)) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right) g_2(\Psi(t_j)) - \left(\frac{1}{a+b} \right) g_1(\Psi(t_j)), \end{aligned}$$

$$f_1 = \frac{d}{d+c} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k J_j(\Psi(t_j)) \right) - \left(\frac{1}{d+c} \right) g_2(\Psi(t_j)).$$

Putting these values in (3.11), we get the required solution given in (3.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \sum_{j=1}^k (t-t_j) \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^k (t-t_j) J_j(\Psi(t_j)) + \sum_{j=1}^k J_j(\Psi(t_j)) - \frac{b}{a+b} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-1} \eta(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^k (T-t_j) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds \\ & \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k (T-t_j) J_j(\Psi(t_j)) + \sum_{j=1}^k J_j(\Psi(t_j)) \right) \\ & + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^k J_j(\Psi(t_j)) + \frac{g_2(\Psi)}{d} \Big) \\ & - \frac{td}{d+c} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-2} \eta(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^k J_j(\Psi(t_j)) \Big) \\ & + \left(\frac{t}{d+c} \right) g_2(\Psi) + \left(\frac{1}{a+b} \right) g_1(\Psi). \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging terms so we can achieve the solution given in (3.2). On the other hand, we presume that Ψ is a solution of the given equation, it being straightforward we give the solution given by (3.2), by direct computation which satisfies (3.12). \square

Corollary 3.1 *In view of Lemma 3.1, the solution of the considered problem (1.1) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} h(s, \Psi(s)) ds + \frac{a}{(a+b)\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-1} h(s, \Psi(s)) ds \\ & - \frac{b}{(a+b)\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} h(s, \Psi(s)) ds + \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (t-t_j) + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{b}{a+b} \sum_{j=1}^k \left((T-t_j) - \frac{td}{c+d} \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-2} h(s, \Psi(s)) ds \\
& + \left(\frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} - \frac{td}{c+d} \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-2} h(s, \Psi(s)) ds \\
& + \frac{a}{a+b} \sum_{j=1}^k I_j(\Psi(t_j)) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (t-t_j) + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} - \frac{b}{a+b} \sum_{j=1}^k (T-t_j) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{td}{c+d} \right) \sum_{j=1}^k J_j(\Psi(t_j)) + \left(\frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} + \frac{t}{c+d} \right) g_2(\Psi) + \frac{g_1(\Psi)}{a+b}. \quad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

With the help of Corollary 3.1, the problem (3.12) is reduced to the fixed point problem as $\Psi = T(\Psi)$. Keeping in mind this operator problem we develop results regarding the solution of the considered problem.

Next we define five operators to establish the main results as regards the existence and uniqueness as follows:

$$F_0: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_0\Psi(t) &= \frac{a}{a+b} \sum_{j=1}^k I_j(\Psi(t_j)) \\
&+ \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (t-t_j) + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} - \frac{b}{a+b} \sum_{j=1}^k (T-t_j) - \frac{td}{c+d} \right) \sum_{j=1}^k J_j(\Psi(t_j)) \\
&+ \left(\frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} + \frac{t}{c+d} \right) g_2(\Psi) + \frac{g_1(\Psi)}{a+b}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the integral part we define the operators by

$$F_1: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y},$$

$$F_1\Psi(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} h(s, \Psi(s)) ds - \frac{b}{(a+b)\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} h(s, \Psi(s)) ds,$$

$$F_2: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2\Psi(t) &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (t-t_j) + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} - \frac{b}{a+b} \sum_{j=1}^k (T-t_j) - \frac{td}{c+d} \right) \\
&\times \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-2} h(s, \Psi(s)) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

$$F_3: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y},$$

$$F_3\Psi(t) = \left(\frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} - \frac{td}{c+d} \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-2} h(s, \Psi(s)) ds,$$

$$F_4: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y},$$

$$F_4\Psi(t) = \frac{a}{(a+b)\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j-s)^{\gamma-1} h(s, \Psi(s)) ds.$$

Let $T : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, then T is defined by

$$T\Psi(t) = F_0\Psi(t) + F_1\Psi(t) + F_2\Psi(t) + F_3\Psi(t) + F_4\Psi(t).$$

Therefore, investigating the solution to the given (3.12) the problem is just like investigating the fixed point for the operator T .

Theorem 3.1 *The operator $F_0 : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is Lipschitz with constant $K_I = \sum_{i=1}^m K_I^i \in [0, 1)$. Consequently F_0 is ψ -Lipschitz with the same constant $K_I^i \in [0, 1)$. Further F_0 verifies the following relation:*

$$\|F_0\Psi\| \leq A + B\|\Psi\|, \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A = & \left| \frac{a}{a+b} \right| M_1 + \left| lT + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| M_2 \\ & + \left| \frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} + \frac{T}{c+d} \right| M_{g_2} + \left| \frac{a}{a+b} \right| M_{g_1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B = & \left| \frac{a}{a+b} \right| C_1 + \left| lT + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| C_2 \\ & + \left| \frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} + \frac{T}{c+d} \right| C_{g_2} + \left| \frac{a}{a+b} \right| C_{g_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Proof Using (A_1) and (A_4) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |F_0\Psi_1 - F_0\Psi_2| \\ &= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{a}{a+b} \sum_{j=1}^k (I_j(\Psi_1(t_j)) - I_j(\Psi_2(t_j))) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (t - t_j) + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \frac{b}{a+b} \sum_{j=1}^k (T - t_j) - \frac{td}{(c+d)} \right) [J_j(\Psi_1(t_j)) - J_j(\Psi_2(t_j))] + \left(\frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{t}{c+d} \right) (g_2(\Psi_1) - g_2(\Psi_2)) + \frac{1}{a+b} (g_1(\Psi_1) - g_1(\Psi_2)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Further simplification yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_0\Psi_1 - F_0\Psi_2\| \leq & \left| \frac{a}{a+b} \right| K_1^i \|\Psi_1 - \Psi_2\| + \left| lT + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| K_j^i \|\Psi_1 - \Psi_2\| \\ & + \left| \frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} + \frac{T}{c+d} \right| k_{g_2} \|\Psi_1 - \Psi_2\| + \left| \frac{1}{a+b} \right| K_{g_1} \|\Psi_1 - \Psi_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_0\Psi_1 - F_0\Psi_2\| \leq & \left(\left| \frac{a}{a+b} \right| K_1^i + \left| lT + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| K_j^i + \left| \frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} + \frac{T}{c+d} \right| k_{g_2} \right. \\ & \left. + \left| \frac{1}{a+b} \right| K_{g_1} \right) \times \|\Psi_1 - \Psi_2\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 = & \left(\left| \frac{a}{a+b} \right| K_1^i + \left| lT + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| K_j^i + \left| \frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} + \frac{T}{c+d} \right| k_{g_2} \right. \\ & \left. + \left| \frac{1}{a+b} \right| K_{g_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.16) becomes

$$\|F_0\Psi_1 - F_0\Psi_2\| \leq K_1 \|\Psi_1 - \Psi_2\|.$$

Thus F_0 is Lipschitz with constant $K \in [0, 1)$.

For the growth relation using (A_2) and (A_5) , we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_0\Psi\| = & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{a}{a+b} \sum_{j=1}^k I_j(\Psi_1(t_j)) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (t - t_j) + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \left(\frac{b}{a+b} \right) \sum_{j=1}^k (T - t_j) - \frac{td}{(c+d)} \right) J_j(\Psi(t_j)) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} + \frac{t}{c+d} \right) g_2(\Psi) + \left(\frac{1}{a+b} \right) g_1(\Psi) \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_0\Psi\| \leq & \left| \frac{a}{a+b} \right| (C_1 \|\Psi\| + M_1) + \left| lT + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| (C_2 \|\Psi\| + M_2) \\ & + \left| \frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} + \frac{T}{c+d} \right| (C_{g_2} \|\Psi\| + M_{g_2}) \\ & + \left| \frac{1}{a+b} \right| (C_{g_1} \|\Psi\| + M_{g_1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.17) becomes

$$\|F_0\Psi(t)\| \leq A + B \|\Psi\|,$$

where A and B are given in (3.14) and (3.15). □

Lemma 3.2 *The operator*

$$F_1\Psi(t) : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$$

is continuous and satisfies the following growth condition:

$$\|F_1\Psi\| \leq \left(1 + \left|\frac{b}{a+b}\right|\right) \frac{T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} (C_f + M_f\|\Psi\|). \quad (3.18)$$

Proof Let Ψ_n be a sequence in $B_k = \{\Psi \in Y : \|\Psi\| \leq r\}$ such that $\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This implies that

$$\frac{(t-s)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} (h(s, \Psi_n) - h(s, \Psi)) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\frac{(T-s)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} (h(s, \Psi_n) - h(s, \Psi)) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, $\|F_1(\Psi_n) - F_1(\Psi)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, this implies that F_1 is continuous.

For the growth relation, using (A_3) , we then find

$$\begin{aligned} |F_1(\Psi(t))| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} |h(s, \Psi(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \left|\frac{b}{a+b}\right| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} |h(s, \Psi(s))| ds, \\ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |F_1(\Psi(t))| &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(\frac{(t-t_k)^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} + \left|\frac{b}{a+b}\right| \frac{(T-t_k)^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \right) (C_f + M_f\|\Psi\|), \\ \|F_1\Psi\| &\leq \left(1 + \left\|\frac{b}{a+b}\right\|\right) \frac{T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} (C_f + M_f\|\Psi\|). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.2 *The operator defined as $F_1 : Y \rightarrow Y$ is compact and ψ -Lipschitz with constant zero.*

Proof Clearly F_1 satisfies the growth condition so F_1 is bounded on $B_k = \{\Psi \in Y : \|\Psi\| \leq r\}$.

Let $\Psi \in B_k$, we have

$$\|F_1\Psi\| \leq \left(1 + \left|\frac{b}{a+b}\right|\right) \frac{T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} (C_f + M_f\|\Psi\|) \leq Q_1.$$

Hence F_1 is bounded.

Further for $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T$, we show F_1 is equi-continuous.

$$\begin{aligned} &|F_1(\Psi(t_1)) - F_1(\Psi(t_2))| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\gamma-1} |h(s, \Psi(s))| ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{\gamma-1} |h(s, \Psi(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{b}{a+b} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} |h(s, \Psi(s))| ds \\ &\quad - \frac{b}{a+b} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-1} |h(s, \Psi(s))| ds. \end{aligned}$$

One has

$$|F_1(\Psi(t_1)) - F_1(\Psi(t_2))| \leq \frac{(C_f + M_f \|\Psi\|)}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} ((t_1 - t_k)^\gamma - (t_2 - t_k)^\gamma).$$

As $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$, then $|F_1(\Psi(t_1)) - F_1(\Psi(t_2))| \rightarrow 0$. Hence F_1 is equi-continuous so F_1 is compact.

Then, by Proposition 2.3, F_1 is ψ -Lipschitz with constant zero. \square

Lemma 3.3 *The operator*

$$F_2 : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$$

is continuous and satisfies the following growth condition:

$$\|F_2\Psi\| \leq \left(l + \left| \frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| \right) \frac{T^{\gamma+1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} (C_f + M_f \|\Psi\|). \quad (3.19)$$

Proof Let Ψ_n be a sequence in $B_k = \{\Psi \in Y : \|\Psi\| \leq r\}$ such that $\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This implies that

$$\frac{(t_i - s)^{\gamma-2}}{\Gamma(\gamma - 1)} (h(s, \Psi_n) - h(s, \Psi)) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

Using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we see that

$$\|F_2(\Psi_n) - F_2(\Psi)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that F_2 is continuous.

Further for the growth relation using (A_3) , then one has

$$\begin{aligned} |F_2(\Psi(t))| &\leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^k (t - t_j) + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} - \frac{b}{a+b} \sum_{j=1}^k (T - t_j) - \frac{td}{c+d} \right| \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \frac{(t_j - s)^{\gamma-2}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} |h(s, \Psi(s))| ds, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |F_2(\Psi(t))| \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \sum_{j=1}^k (t - t_j) + \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| \times \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \frac{(t_j - s)^{\gamma-2}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} |h(s, \Psi(s))| ds.$$

Thus one has

$$\|F_2(\Psi)\| \leq \left| l + \frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| \times \frac{T^{\gamma+1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} (C_f + M_f \|\Psi\|).$$

This is the required relation. \square

Lemma 3.4 *The operator defined as $F_2 : Y \rightarrow Y$ is compact and F_2 is ψ -Lipschitz with constant zero.*

Proof It can be easily derived similarly to Lemma 3.3. \square

Lemma 3.5 *The operator*

$$F_3 : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon$$

is continuous and satisfies the following growth condition:

$$\|F_3\Psi\| \leq \left| \frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| \times \frac{T^{\gamma+1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} (C_f + M_f \|\Psi\|). \quad (3.20)$$

Proof Let Ψ_n be a sequence in $B_k = \{\Psi \in Y : \|\Psi\| \leq r\}$ such that $\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This implies that

$$\frac{(T-s)^{\gamma-2}}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} (h(s, \Psi_n) - h(s, \Psi)) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem

$$\|F_3(\Psi_n) - F_3(\Psi)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that F_3 is continuous.

For the growth relation using (A_3) , then

$$\begin{aligned} |F_3(\Psi(t))| &\leq \left| \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} - \frac{td}{c+d} \right| \times \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma-1)} \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-2} |h(s, \Psi(s))| ds, \\ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |F_3(\Psi(t))| &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{bdT}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| \times \int_{t_k}^T (T-s)^{\gamma-2} |h(s, \Psi(s))| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence one has

$$\|F_3(\Psi)\| \leq \left| \frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| \times \frac{T^{\gamma+1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} (C_f + M_f \|\Psi\|).$$

This is the required relation. \square

Lemma 3.6 *The operator defined by $F_3 : Y \rightarrow Y$ is compact and F_3 is ψ -Lipschitz with constant zero.*

Proof The proof is so easy that we omit it. \square

Lemma 3.7 *The operator*

$$F_4 : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon$$

is continuous and satisfies the following growth condition:

$$\|F_4\Psi\| \leq \left| \frac{a}{a+b} \right| \times \frac{lT^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} (C_f + M_f \|\Psi\|). \quad (3.21)$$

Proof Let Ψ_n be a sequence in $B_k = \{\Psi \in Y : \|\Psi\| \leq r\}$ such that $\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This implies that

$$\frac{(t_j - s)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} (h(s, \Psi_n) - h(s, \Psi)) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

Using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, $\|F_4(\Psi_n) - F_4(\Psi)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This implies that F_4 is continuous.

For the growth relation using (A_3) , then

$$\begin{aligned} |F_4(\Psi(t))| &\leq \left| \frac{a}{a+b} \right| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_j - s)^{\gamma-1} |h(s, \Psi(s))| ds, \\ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |F_4(\Psi(t))| &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{a}{a+b} \right| \times \frac{(t_j - t_{j-1})^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \times (C_f + M_f \|\Psi\|), \\ \|F_4 \Psi\| &\leq \left| \frac{a}{a+b} \right| \times \frac{LT^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} (C_f + M_f \|\Psi\|). \end{aligned}$$

This is the required relation. \square

Lemma 3.8 *The operator defined by $F_4 : Y \rightarrow Y$ is compact and F_4 is ψ -Lipschitz with constant zero.*

Proof The proof is so easy that we omit it. \square

Next we show in combined form that the four operators F_1, F_2, F_3 and F_4 , satisfy the growth condition and are continuous, then we show the operators F_1, F_2, F_3 and F_4 are ψ -Lipschitz with constants zero and co-mpact.

Theorem 3.3 *The operators F_1, F_2, F_3 and $F_4 : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon$, are continuous and satisfy the following relation:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F_1 \Psi\| + \|F_2 \Psi\| + \|F_3 \Psi\| + \|F_4 \Psi\| \\ &\leq \left(1 + \left| \frac{b}{(a+b)} \right| \frac{T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} + \left| l + \frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| \frac{T^{\gamma+1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| \times \frac{T^{\gamma+1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \left| \frac{a}{(a+b)} \right| \times \frac{LT^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \right) \\ &\quad \times (C_f + M_f \|\Psi\|). \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Since F_1, F_2, F_3 and F_4 , are continuous, $F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4$, is continuous.

Lemma 3.9 *The operators F_1, F_2, F_3 and $F_4 : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon$, are compact and ψ -Lipschitz with constant zero.*

Proof Since F_1, F_2, F_3 and F_4 , are compact, $F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4$, is compact, then, by Proposition 2.3, it is also ψ -Lipschitz with zero constant. \square

Theorem 3.4 *Presume that (A_1) , (A_2) , (A_3) and (A_4) , hold, then the problem 3.2 has at least one solution $\Psi \in \Upsilon$ and the set of the solutions of the problem 3.2 is bounded in Υ .*

Proof Let F_0, F_1, F_2, F_3 and $F_4, T : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon$ be the operators defined in the section above. They are bounded and continuous. Furthermore, F_0 is ψ -Lipschitz with constant $K \in [0, 1]$ and F_1, F_2, F_3 and F_4 are ψ -Lipschitz with zero constants. Let

$$H = \{\Psi \in \Upsilon : \text{there exist } \lambda \in [0, 1] \text{ such that } \Psi = \lambda T\Psi\}.$$

We show that H is bounded in Υ . Let $\Psi \in H, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that $\|\Psi\| = \lambda\|T\Psi\|$. It follows from (3.13) and (3.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi\| &\leq |\lambda|(\|F_0\Psi\| + \|F_1\Psi\| + \|F_2\Psi\| + \|F_3\Psi\| + \|F_4\Psi\|), \\ \|\Psi\| &\leq |\lambda|\left[A + B\|\Psi\| + \left(1 + \left|\frac{b}{a+b}\right|\right)\frac{T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} + \left(l + \left|\frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)}\right|\right)\frac{T^{\gamma+1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)}\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \left|\frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)}\right|\frac{T^{\gamma+1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \left|\frac{a}{a+b}\right| \times \frac{lT^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}\right](M_f\|\Psi\| + C_f). \end{aligned}$$

This inequality shows that H is bounded; if not, then we assume that $\zeta = \|\Psi\| \rightarrow \infty$. Dividing both sides of the above inequality by $\|\Psi\|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq |\lambda|\left[\frac{A + B\|\Psi\| + \left(1 + \left|\frac{b}{a+b}\right|\right)\frac{T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} + \left(l + \left|\frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)}\right|\right)\frac{T^{\gamma+1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)}}{\zeta}\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \frac{\left|\frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)}\right|\frac{T^{\gamma+1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \left|\frac{a}{a+b}\right| \times \frac{lT^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}}{\zeta}\right] \times (M_f\|\Psi\| + C_f). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$, we get the relation $1 \leq 0$, which is not possible. Then we have the possibility that T is bounded in Υ and has at least one fixed point. \square

Theorem 3.5 *Under the hypothesis (A_5) and if $K < 1$ holds, where*

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \left[\left(\frac{T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} + \left|\frac{a}{a+b}\right| \times \frac{lT^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} + \left|\frac{b}{a+b}\right| \times \frac{T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} + l\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)} \times \frac{lT^{\gamma+1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \left|\frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)}\right| \times \frac{T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}\right)K_\psi + \left|\frac{a}{a+b}\right|K_I^i \\ &\quad \left.+ \left|l + \frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)}\right|K_J^i + \left|\frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} + \frac{1}{c+d}\right|K_{g_2} + \left|\frac{1}{a+b}\right|K_{g_1}\right], \end{aligned}$$

the considered problem (1.1) has a unique solution.

Proof Consider $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in \Upsilon$ to be two solutions of the given problem (1.1), then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\Psi_1 - T\Psi_2\| &\leq \left[\frac{K_\psi T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} + \left|\frac{a}{a+b}\right| \times \frac{K_\psi lT^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} + \left|\frac{b}{a+b}\right| \times \frac{K_\psi T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \left|l + \frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)}\right| \times \frac{K_\psi lT^{\gamma+1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \left|\frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)}\right| \times \frac{K_\psi T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma)}\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left| \frac{a}{a+b} K_I^i + \left| l + \frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| K_J^i \right. \\
& + \left. \left| \frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} + \frac{1}{c+d} \right| K_{g_2} + \left| \frac{1}{a+b} K_{g_1} \right| \right] \|\Psi_1 - \Psi_2\|, \\
\|T\Psi_1 - T\Psi_2\| \leq & \left[\left(\frac{T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} + \left| \frac{a}{a+b} \right| \times \frac{lT^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} + \left| \frac{b}{a+b} \right| \times \frac{T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \right. \right. \\
& + \left. \left| l + \frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| \times \frac{lT^{\gamma+1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \left| \frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| \times \frac{T^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \right) K_\Psi \\
& + \left| \frac{a}{a+b} K_I^i + \left| l + \frac{bd}{(a+b)(c+d)} \right| K_J^i + \left| \frac{bT}{(a+b)(c+d)} + \frac{1}{c+d} \right| K_{g_2} \right. \\
& + \left. \left. \left| \frac{1}{a+b} K_{g_1} \right| \right] \|\Psi_1 - \Psi_2\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus T is a contraction mapping and by the Banach contraction theorem T has a unique fixed point. Thus the considered problem has a unique solution. \square

4 Test problem

Example 4.1 Let $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$, $T = 1$, $a = b = 1$, $c = d = 0.4$, and the subsequent problem be

$$\begin{cases} D^{\frac{3}{2}}\Psi(t) = \frac{\sin(t)}{16+|\Psi(t)|}, & t \in [0, 1], t \neq \frac{1}{5}, \\ \delta\Psi(\frac{1}{5}) = I_j(\Psi(\frac{1}{5})) = \frac{4+|\Psi|^2}{30+|\Psi|^2}, \\ \delta\Psi'(\frac{1}{5}) = J_j(\Psi'(\frac{1}{5})) = \frac{20+|\Psi|^2}{30+|\Psi|^2}, \\ \Psi(0) + \Psi(1) = \frac{\cos(\Psi)}{10}, \quad 0.4\Psi'(0) + 0.4\Psi'(1) = \frac{\sin(\Psi)}{20}. \end{cases}$$

As regards Theorem 3.4, it is not difficult to see that all the hypotheses are satisfied. Thus, the said problem has a solution in \mathcal{Y} . For the uniqueness we presume $K_\Psi = \frac{1}{16}$, $K_{g_1} = \frac{1}{10}$, $K_{g_2} = \frac{1}{20}$, $K_I^1 = \frac{1}{30}$, $K_J^1 = \frac{1}{30}$, $l = 1$, and by simple computation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K &= \left(\frac{1}{\frac{3}{4} \times \sqrt{(\pi)}} + \frac{0.5 \times 1}{\frac{3}{4} \times \sqrt{(\pi)}} + \frac{0.5}{\frac{3}{4} \times \sqrt{(\pi)}} + \frac{1.25}{\frac{1}{2} \times \sqrt{(\pi)}} + \frac{0.25}{\frac{1}{2} \times \sqrt{(\pi)}} \right) \times \frac{1}{16} \\
&+ \left(0.5 \times \frac{1}{30} \right) + \left(0.25 \times \frac{1}{30} \right) + \left(1.875 \times \frac{1}{20} \right) + \left(0.5 \times \frac{1}{10} \right) \\
&= \frac{357}{1000}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

From (4.1), we see $K < 1$. Hence all the conditions of Theorem 3.5 hold. Consequently the given problem (1.1) under RBCs has a unique solution in \mathcal{Y} .

5 Conclusion

With the support of a degree method of topology, we found sufficient conditions for the impulsive problem (1.1) and by a well-known Banach contraction theorem the uniqueness conditions have been obtained. A test example is presented and it is observed that our results are effective under some conditions.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to the reviewers for their comments, which improved the paper very much. This research work has been supported by HED under grant No: HEREF-46 and HEC of Pakistan under grant No: NRPDU-10039.

Funding

The authors received no direct funding for this work.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors declare that they read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Malakand, Chakadara Dir(L), Pakistan. ²Department of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. ³Department of Medical Research, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan. ⁴Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Asia University, Taichung, Taiwan. ⁵College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East, Egaila, Kuwait.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 11 April 2020 Accepted: 8 May 2020 Published online: 15 May 2020

References

1. Hilfer, R.: Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics. World Scientific, Singapore (2000)
2. Miller, K., Ross, B.: An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations. Wiley, New York (1993)
3. Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations. Mathematics in Science and Engineering. Academic Press, New York (1999)
4. Tian, Y., Ge, W.: Applications of variational methods to boundary-value problem for impulsive differential equations. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. **51**(2), 509–527 (2008)
5. Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J.: Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. North-Holland Mathematics Studies. Elsevier Amsterdam (2006)
6. Abdeljawad, T., Jarad, F., Baleanu, D.: On the existence and the uniqueness theorem for fractional differential equations with bounded delay within Caputo derivatives. Sci. China Math. **51**(10), 1775–1786 (2008)
7. Momani, S., Zeidan, D.: Proceedings of International Conference on Fractional Differentiation and Its Applications (ICFDA) (2018)
8. Yavuz, M.: Characterizations of two different fractional operators without singular kernel. Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. **14**(3), 302 (2019)
9. Yavuz, M., Özdemir, N.: Comparing the new fractional derivative operators involving exponential and Mittag-Leffler kernel. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. S **13**(3), 995 (2020)
10. Ketten, A., Yavuz, M., Baleanu, D.: Nonlocal Cauchy problem via a fractional operator involving power kernel in Banach spaces. Fractal Fract. **3**(2), 27 (2019)
11. Samoilenko, A.M., Perestyuk, N.A.: Impulsive Differential Equations. World Scientific, Singapore (1995)
12. Xu, D., Huang, Y., Liang, L.: Existence of positive periodic solution of an impulsive delay fishing model. Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. **3**(2), 89–94 (2011)
13. Shah, K., Khalil, H., Khan, R.A.: Investigation of positive solution to a coupled system of impulsive boundary value problems for nonlinear fractional order differential equations. Chaos Solitons Fractals **77**, 240–246 (2015)
14. Promsakon, C., Suntornsinsoungvon, E., Ntouyas, S.K., Tariboon, J.: Impulsive boundary value problems containing Caputo fractional derivative of a function with respect to another function. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2019**(1), 486 (2019)
15. Ahmad, B., Wang, G.: A study of an impulsive four point boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equations. Comput. Math. Appl. **62**, 1341–1349 (2011)
16. Chen, A., Chen, Y.: Existence of solutions to anti-periodic boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equations with impulses. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2011**, Article ID 915689 (2011)
17. Simeonov, P.: Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic Solutions and Applications. Routledge, London (2017)
18. Mawhin, J.: Topological Degree Methods in Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1979)
19. Isaia, F.: On a nonlinear integral equation without compactness. Acta Math. Univ. Comen. **2**, 233–240 (2006)
20. Wang, J., Zhou, Y., Wei, W.: Study in fractional differential equations by means of topological degree methods. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **33**(2), 216–238 (2012)
21. Shah, K., Khan, R.A.: Existence and uniqueness results to a coupled system of fractional order boundary value problems by topological degree theory. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **37**(7), 887–899 (2016)
22. Ali, N., Shah, K., Baleanu, D., Arif, M., Khan, R.A.: Study of a class of arbitrary order differential equations by a coincidence degree method. Bound. Value Probl. **2017**, 111 (2017)
23. Shah, K., Hussain, W.: Investigating a class of nonlinear fractional differential equations and its Hyers–Ulam stability by means of topological degree theory. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **40**(12), 1355–1372 (2019)
24. Anderson, M.L., Andrew, P.B., Fowkes, N.: Boundary tracing and boundary value problems: II. Applications. Proc. R. Soc. A, Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **463**(2084), 1925–1938 (2007)
25. Ahmad, B., et al.: Recent trends in boundary value problems. Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2013**, Article ID 261754 (2013)
26. Mei, Z.: Numerical Bifurcation Analysis for Reaction–Diffusion Equations. Springer, Berlin (2000)
27. Hahn, D.W., Ozisk, M.N.: Heat Conduction, 3rd edn. Wiley, New York (2012)