RESEARCH

Boundary Value Problems a SpringerOpen Journal

Open Access

The entropy weak solution to a generalized Fornberg–Whitham equation



Nan Li¹ and Shaoyong Lai^{1*}

*Correspondence: laishaoy@swufe.edu.cn ¹Department of Mathematics, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, China

Abstract

We investigate a nonlinear generalized Fornberg–Whitham equation. The key element is that we derive an $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ conservation law for solutions of the equation. We establish several estimates by utilizing the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ conservation law. These estimates lead to the proof of the existence and uniqueness of entropy weak solution of the equation in the space $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

MSC: 35G25; 35L05

Keywords: Entropy weak solutions; Existence and uniqueness; The Fornberg–Whitham equation

1 Introduction

Consider the nonlinear partial differential equation

$$V_{t} - V_{txx} + kV_{x} + mVV_{x} = \frac{9}{2}V_{x}V_{xx} + \frac{3}{2}VV_{xxx}, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R},$$
(1)

where m > 0 and k are constants. Assume that $V_0(x) = V(0, x)$ is an initial value to Eq. (1). We establish the inequality

$$c_1 \|V_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le \|V\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le c_2 \|V_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},\tag{2}$$

where $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ are constants independent of *t*.

If k = -1 and $m = \frac{3}{2}$, then Eq. (1) becomes the Fornberg–Whitham equation [1, 2]

$$V_t - V_{txx} - V_x + \frac{3}{2}VV_x = \frac{9}{2}V_xV_{xx} + \frac{3}{2}VV_{xxx}, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}.$$
 (3)

Recently, Holmes and Thompson [3] proved the well-posedness of Eq. (3) in the Besov space in the periodic and nonperiodic cases and established a Cauchy–Kowalevski-type theorem for Eq. (3) to show the existence and uniqueness of analytic solutions. The blow-up criterion for the solutions is given in [3]. Using several estimates derived from the Fornberg–Whitham equation itself and the conclusions in [4], Haziot [5] found sufficient conditions on the initial data to guarantee the wave breaking of solutions of Eq. (3). Gao et al. [6] proved the L^1 local stability of strong solutions of Eq. (3).

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



We know that the dynamic properties of the Fornberg–Whitham model are related to those of the Cammassa–Holm equation[7], Degasperis–Processi equation [8], and Novikov equation[9], which have peakon solutions (see[10–13]). Other dynamical properties of the Camassa–Holm, Degasperis–Processi, and Novikov equations can be found in [14–21] and the references therein.

We write the Cauchy problem for Eq. (1):

$$\begin{cases} V_t - V_{txx} = -kV_x - mVV_x + \frac{9}{2}V_xV_{xx} + \frac{3}{2}VV_{xxx} \\ = -kV_x - (\frac{m}{2}V^2)_x + \frac{3}{4}\partial_{xxx}^3 V^2, \\ V(0,x) = V_0(x), \end{cases}$$
(4)

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} V_t + \frac{3}{2}VV_x + \partial_x Q(t, x) = 0, \\ V(0, x) = V_0(x), \end{cases}$$
(5)

where m > 0 is a constant, $\Lambda = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $Q(t, x) = [kV + (\frac{m}{2} - \frac{3}{4})V^2(t, x)]$.

Motivated by the desire to further investigate the Fornberg–Whitham equation (3), the objective of this work is to establish the existence and uniqueness of entropy solutions for Eq. (1). Using the viscous approximation techniques and assuming that the initial value $V_0(x)$ belongs to the space $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, we prove the well-posedness of the entropy solutions. The novelty is that we derive a new $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ conservation law for Eq. (1). The ideas for obtaining our main result come from those in [22].

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Sect. 2, we establish several estimates for the viscous approximations of problem (5), and in Sect. 3, we present our main results and their proofs.

2 Estimates of viscous approximations

Set

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{1}{x^2 - 1}}, & |x| < 1, \\ 0, & |x| \ge 1, \end{cases}$$

 $\phi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}} \phi(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}}x)$ with $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, and $V_{0,\varepsilon} = \phi_{\varepsilon} \star V_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{\varepsilon}(x-y)V_0(y) dy$. We have $V_{0,\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}$ for any $V_0 \in H^s$ with $s \ge 0$.

For conciseness in this paper, we let c denote an arbitrary positive constant, which is independent of parameter ε and time t.

For a smooth function $V_{0,\varepsilon}$ and $s \ge 0$, we have

$$\begin{split} \|V_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})} &\leq c \|V_{0}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ V_{0,\varepsilon} \to V_{0} \quad (\varepsilon \to 0) \text{ in } L^{p}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ for } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \|V_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{H^{q}} &\leq c \|V_{0}\|_{H^{s}} \quad \text{if } q \leq s. \end{split}$$

For problem (4), we will discuss the limiting behavior of a sequence of smooth functions $\{V_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$, where each function V_{ε} satisfies the viscous problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{txx}^3 V_{\varepsilon} + k \partial_x V_{\varepsilon} + m V_{\varepsilon} \partial_x V_{\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{9}{2} V_{\varepsilon} \partial_{xxx}^3 V_{\varepsilon} + \frac{3}{2} \partial_x V_{\varepsilon} \partial_{xx}^2 V_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 V_{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon \partial_{xxxx}^4 V_{\varepsilon}, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \\ V_{\varepsilon}(0,x) = V_{0,\varepsilon}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$
(6)

or, in the equivalent form,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V_{\varepsilon} + \frac{3}{4} \partial_x (V_{\varepsilon}^2) + \partial_x Q_{\varepsilon}(t, x) = \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 V_{\varepsilon}, \\ Q_{\varepsilon}(t, x) = \Lambda^{-2} [k V_{\varepsilon} + (\frac{m}{2} - \frac{3}{4}) V_{\varepsilon}^2], \\ V_{\varepsilon}(0, x) = V_{0,\varepsilon}(x), \end{cases}$$
(7)

where

$$Q_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} \left[k V_{\varepsilon}(t,y) + \left(\frac{m}{2} - \frac{3}{4}\right) V_{\varepsilon}^{2}(t,y) \right] dy.$$
(8)

Lemma 2.1 If $V_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a unique global smooth solution $V_{\varepsilon} = V_{\varepsilon}(t, x)$ to the Cauchy problem (6) belonging to $C([0, \infty); H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ with $s \ge 0$.

Proof Using Theorem 2.3 in [23], we directly get the result of this lemma. \Box

Now we give the following lemma, which plays a key role in our investigation of Eq. (1).

Lemma 2.2 Suppose that V_{ε} is a solution of problem (7), $V_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and t > 0. Then

$$c_1 \|V_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le \|V_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le c_2 \|V_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},\tag{9}$$

$$\varepsilon \int_0^t \left\| \partial_x V_\varepsilon(\tau, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 d\tau \le c_3 \|V_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2,\tag{10}$$

where c_1 , c_2 , and c_3 are positive constants independent of ε and t.

Proof Let $g_{\varepsilon} = (\frac{2m}{3} - \partial_{xx}^2)^{-1} V_{\varepsilon}$. We have

$$\frac{2m}{3}g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^2 g_{\varepsilon} = V_{\varepsilon}.$$
(11)

Multiplying the first equation of problem (7) by $g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^2 g_{\varepsilon}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R} yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t V_{\varepsilon} (g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^2 g_{\varepsilon}) dx - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xx}^2 V_{\varepsilon} (g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^2 g_{\varepsilon}) dx$$
$$= -\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\varepsilon} \partial_x V_{\varepsilon} (g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^2 g_{\varepsilon}) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x Q_{\varepsilon} (t, x) (g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^2 g_{\varepsilon}) dx.$$
(12)

We have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{t} V_{\varepsilon} \left(g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \right) dx - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xx}^{2} V_{\varepsilon} \left(g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2m}{3} \partial_{t} g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{txx}^{3} g_{\varepsilon} \right) \left(g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \right) dx - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2m}{3} \partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xxxx}^{4} g_{\varepsilon} \right) \left(g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2m}{3} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{t} g_{\varepsilon} - g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{txxx}^{3} g_{\varepsilon} - \frac{2m}{3} \partial_{t} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} + \partial_{xxx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{txxx}^{3} g_{\varepsilon} \right) dx \\ &- \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2m}{3} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{t} g_{\varepsilon} - \frac{2m}{3} (\partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon})^{2} - g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{xxxxx}^{4} g_{\varepsilon} + \partial_{xxx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{xxxxx}^{4} g_{\varepsilon} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2m}{3} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{t} g_{\varepsilon} - \left(\frac{2m}{3} + 1 \right) g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{txxx}^{3} g_{\varepsilon} + \partial_{xxx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{txxx}^{4} g_{\varepsilon} \right) dx \\ &- \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2m}{3} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{t} g_{\varepsilon} - \left(\frac{2m}{3} + 1 \right) g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{txxx}^{4} g_{\varepsilon} + \partial_{xxx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{xxxxx}^{4} g_{\varepsilon} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2m}{3} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{t} g_{\varepsilon} + \left(\frac{2m}{3} + 1 \right) \partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{txx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} + \partial_{xxx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{xxxxx}^{4} g_{\varepsilon} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(-\frac{2m}{3} \partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon} - \left(\frac{2m}{3} + 1 \right) \partial_{xx} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{xxxx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xxxx}^{3} g_{\varepsilon} \right) dx \\ &- \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(-\frac{2m}{3} \partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon} - \left(\frac{2m}{3} + 1 \right) \partial_{xx} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{xxx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{xxxx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2m}{3} (\partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon})^{2} + \left(\frac{2m}{3} + 1 \right) (\partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon})^{2} + \left(\partial_{xxx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \right)^{2} \right) dx \\ &+ \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2m}{3} (\partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon})^{2} + \left(\frac{2m}{3} + 1 \right) (\partial_{xx} g_{\varepsilon})^{2} + \left(\partial_{xxxx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \right)^{2} \right) dx. \end{split}$$
(13)

For the right-hand side of (13), integrating by parts and using (11) result in

$$-\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} V_{\varepsilon} \left(g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon}\right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} Q_{\varepsilon}(t, x) \left(g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon}\right) dx$$

$$= -\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} V_{\varepsilon} \left(g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon}\right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(Q_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^{2} Q_{\varepsilon}\right)(t, x) \partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon} dx$$

$$= -\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} V_{\varepsilon} \left(g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon}\right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[kV_{\varepsilon} + \left(\frac{m}{2} - \frac{3}{4}\right)V_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right] \partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon} dx$$

$$= \frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \left(V_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} dx + \frac{m}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\varepsilon}^{2} \partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon} dx + k \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2m}{3} g_{\varepsilon} - \partial_{xx} g_{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon} dx$$

$$= \frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \left(V_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \left[\frac{2m}{3} g_{\varepsilon} - V_{\varepsilon}\right] dx + \frac{m}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\varepsilon}^{2} \partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon} dx + 0$$

$$= -\frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\varepsilon}^{2} \partial_{x} V_{\varepsilon} dx = 0.$$
(14)

From (12), (13), and (14) we conclude that

$$\frac{2m}{3} \|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \left(\frac{2m}{3} + 1\right) \|\partial_{x}g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\partial_{xx}^{2}g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
+ 2\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{2m}{3} \|\partial_{x}g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \left(\frac{2m}{3} + 1\right) \|\partial_{xx}^{2}g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\partial_{xxx}^{3}g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \right) d\tau
= \frac{2m}{3} \|g_{\varepsilon}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \left(\frac{2m}{3} + 1\right) \|\partial_{x}g_{\varepsilon}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\partial_{xx}^{2}g_{\varepsilon}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$
(15)

Using the smoothness of the function $V_{0,\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\left\|g_{\varepsilon}(0,\cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}}, \left\|\partial_{x}g_{\varepsilon}(0,\cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}}, \left\|\partial^{2}_{xx}g_{\varepsilon}(0,\cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq c\|V_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}} \leq c\|V_{0}\|_{L^{2}}.$$

It follows from (11) that

$$\begin{split} \left\| V_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(-\partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} + \frac{2m}{3} g_{\varepsilon} \right)^{2} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \right)^{2} dx - \frac{4m}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\varepsilon} \partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} dx + \frac{4m^{2}}{9} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\varepsilon}^{2} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon} \right)^{2} dx + \frac{4m}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon})^{2} dx + \frac{4m^{2}}{9} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\varepsilon}^{2} dx. \end{split}$$
(16)

Using (15) and (16), we derive that there exist constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$c_1 \|V_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le \|V_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le c_2 \|V_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$
(17)

and

$$\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \|\partial_{x} V_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d\tau \leq 2\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left\| \partial_{xxx}^{3} g_{\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 2\left(\frac{2m}{3}\right)^{2} \varepsilon \|\partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \right) d\tau$$

$$\leq \varepsilon c \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{2m}{3} \|\partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \left(\frac{2m}{3} + 1\right) \|\partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\partial_{xxx}^{3} g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \right) d\tau$$

$$\leq \varepsilon c \left(\left\| g_{\varepsilon}(0, \cdot) \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \left\| \partial_{x} g_{\varepsilon}(0, \cdot) \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \left\| \partial_{xx}^{2} g_{\varepsilon}(0, \cdot) \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \right)$$

$$\leq c \| V_{0,\varepsilon} \|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

$$\leq c \| V_{0} \|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$

$$(18)$$

The proof of Lemma 2.2 follows from (17) and (18).

Letting $\varepsilon = 0$ in the proof of Lemma 2.2, for Eq. (1), we obtain inequality (2). Using Lemma 2.2, we give the following conclusion for the term $Q_{\varepsilon}(t, x)$.

Lemma 2.3 If $V_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\|Q_{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \|\partial_{x}Q_{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq c(\|V_{0}\|_{L^{2}} + \|V_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}),$$
(19)

$$\|Q_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+}\times\mathbb{R})}, \quad \|\partial_{x}Q_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+}\times\mathbb{R})} \leq c(\|V_{0}\|_{L^{2}}+\|V_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}).$$
(20)

Proof We have

$$Q_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} \left[kV_{\varepsilon}(t,y) + \left(\frac{m}{2} - \frac{3}{4}\right) V_{\varepsilon}^{2}(t,y) \right] dy$$
(21)

and

$$\partial_x Q_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} sign(x-y) \left[k V_{\varepsilon}(t,y) + \left(\frac{m}{2} - \frac{3}{4}\right) V_{\varepsilon}^2(t,y) \right] dy.$$
(22)

Using the Schwarz inequality leads to

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x-y|} V_{\varepsilon}(t,y) \, dy \right| \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\varepsilon}^{2}(t,y) \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq c \| V_{0} \|_{L^{2}}.$$

$$(23)$$

Utilizing the Tonelli theorem and (23), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} V_{\varepsilon}(t,y) \, dy \right| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x-y|} V_{\varepsilon}(t,y) \, dy \right| e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x-y|} \, dx$$
$$\leq \|V_0\|_{L^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x-y|} \, dx \leq c \|V_0\|_{L^2} \tag{24}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} V_{\varepsilon}^{2}(t,y) \, dy \right| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} V_{\varepsilon}^{2}(t,y) \, dy \right| dx$$
$$\leq c \|V_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}. \tag{25}$$

From (21)–(25) and Lemma 2.2 we derive that (19) and (20) hold. The proof is finished. \Box

If $V_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, then we derive $V_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 2.4 If $V_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\left\| V_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \| V_0 \|_{L^{\infty}} + ct \left(\| V_0 \|_{L^2} + \| V_0 \|_{L^2}^2 \right).$$
(26)

Proof Using the first equation of problem (7), we have

$$\partial_t V_{\varepsilon} + \frac{3}{2} V_{\varepsilon} \partial_x V_{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon \partial_{xx} V_{\varepsilon} = -\partial_x Q_{\varepsilon}.$$
⁽²⁷⁾

Applying Lemma 2.3 yields

$$\|\partial_x Q_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})} \le c \big(\|V_0\|_{L^2} + \|V_0\|_{L^2}^2\big).$$
⁽²⁸⁾

Setting $K(t) = \|V_0\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} + ct(\|V_0\|_{L^2} + \|V_0\|_{L^2}^2)$, we get

$$\frac{dK}{dt} = c \left(\|V_0\|_{L^2} + \|V_0\|_{L^2}^2 \right).$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Since $\|V_{\varepsilon}(0,x)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq K(0)$, using the comparison principle, we derive that (26) holds. \Box

Applying Lemma 2.4 and the methods presented in [22], we obtain the following result.

Lemma 2.5 (Oleinik-type estimate) Let $V_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and T > 0. Then

$$\partial_x V_{\varepsilon}(t,x) \le \frac{1}{t} + C_T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < t \le T,$$
(30)

where the constant C_T depends on T.

We omit the proof of this lemma since it is similar to that of Lemma 2.11 in [22]. We state the concepts of weak solution and entropy weak solution (see [22, 24]).

Definition 2.6 (Weak solution) A function $V : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a weak solution of the Cauchy problem (5) if

- (i) $V \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, and
- (ii) $\partial_t V + \frac{3}{4} \partial_x (V^2) + \partial_x Q(t, x) = 0$ in $D'([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$, that is, for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$, we have the identity

$$\int_{R_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(V \partial_t f + \frac{3V^2}{4} \partial_x f - \partial_x Q(t, x) f \right) dx \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_0(x) f(0, x) \, dx = 0.$$
(31)

Definition 2.7 (Entropy weak solution) We call a function $V : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ an entropy weak solution of Cauchy problem (5) if

- (i) V is a weak solution in the sense of Definition 2.6,
- (ii) $V \in L^{\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ for any T > 0, and
- (iii) for any convex C^2 entropy function $\eta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with corresponding entropy flux $q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $q'(V) = \frac{3}{4}\eta'(V)V$, we have

$$\partial_t \eta(V) + \partial_x q(V) + \eta'(V) \partial_x Q \le 0 \quad \text{in } D'([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}), \tag{32}$$

that is, for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}), f(t,x) \ge 0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\eta(V) \partial_t f + q(V) \partial_x f - \eta'(V) \partial_x Q f \right) dx \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta \left(V_0(x) \right) f(0,x) \, dx \ge 0.$$
(33)

Remark 2.8 As stated by Coclite and Karsen [22], by a standard argument we get that the Kruzkov entropies/entropy fluxes

$$\eta(V) = |V - k_1|, \qquad q(V) := \frac{3}{4} \operatorname{sign}(V - k_1) (V^2 - k_1^2), \tag{34}$$

where k_1 is an arbitrary constant, satisfy (33).

3 Main results

We state the following $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ stability result of entropy weak solutions for Eq. (1).

Theorem 3.1 (L^1 -stability) Assume that $V_1(t,x)$ and $V_2(t,x)$ are two entropy weak solutions of problem (5) with initial data $V_{01} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $V_{02} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, respectively. Let T > 0 be the maximal existence time of solutions $V_1(t,x)$ and $V_2(t,x)$. Then

$$\left\| V_{1}(t,\cdot) - V_{2}(t,\cdot) \right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \le Ce^{Ct} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| V_{01}(x) - V_{02}(x) \right| dx, \quad t \in [0,T],$$
(35)

where C depends on $V_{01} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $V_{02} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and T.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is the standard argument presented in Gao et al. [6]. We omit its proof.

We employ the compensated compactness method in [25, 26] to discuss the strong convergence of a subsequence of the viscosity approximations.

Lemma 3.2 Let $\{V_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ be a family of functions defined on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

 $\|V_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C_T$,

where the constant $C_T > 0$ depends on T, and the family

 $\left\{\partial_t \eta(V_{\varepsilon}) + \partial_x q(V_{\varepsilon})\right\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$

is compact in $H^{-1}_{loc}((0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ for any convex $\eta \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, where $q(V) = aV\eta'(V)$ with constant a > 0. Then there exist a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \varepsilon_n \to 0$, and a function $V \in L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})$, T > 0, such that

$$V_{\varepsilon_n} \to V$$
 a.e. and in $L^p_{\text{loc}}((0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}), 1 \le p < \infty$.

Lemma 3.2 can be found in [25] or [26].

Lemma 3.3 ([27]) Suppose that Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^H , $H \ge 2$. Assume that the sequence $\{M_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of distributions is bounded in $W^{-1,\infty}(\Omega)$ and

$$M_n = M_n^{(1)} + M_n^{(2)}$$
,

where $\{M_n^{(1)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ lies in a compact subset of $H_{loc}^{-1}(\Omega)$, and $\{M_n^{(2)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ lies in a bounded subset of $L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$. Then $\{M_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ lies in a compact subset of $H_{loc}^{-1}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 3.4 Let $V_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a subsequence V_{ε_n} , $n \in 1, 2, 3, ...,$ of $\{V_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ and a limit function

$$V \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; L^{2}(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^{\infty}((0, T); L^{\infty} \cap L^{1}(\mathbb{R}))$$
(36)

such that

$$V_{\varepsilon_k} \to V \quad in \, L^p((0,T] \times \mathbb{R}), \forall p \in [1,\infty).$$
(37)

Proof Suppose that $\eta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary convex C^2 entropy function that is compactly supported, and $q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the corresponding entropy flux defined by $q'(V) = \frac{3}{4}\eta'(V)V$. We set

$$\partial_t \eta(V_\varepsilon) + \partial_x q(V_\varepsilon) = M_\varepsilon^{(1)} + M_\varepsilon^{(2)}, \tag{38}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} M_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} = \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \eta(V_{\varepsilon}), \\ M_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} = -\varepsilon \eta''(V_{\varepsilon})(\partial_x V_{\varepsilon})^2 - \eta'(V_{\varepsilon})\partial_x Q_{\varepsilon}(t, x). \end{cases}$$
(39)

We claim that

$$\begin{cases} M_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} \to 0 \quad \text{in } H^{-1}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}), T > 0, \\ M_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} \quad \text{is uniformly bounded in } L^{1}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}). \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{40}$$

Using Lemmas 2.2-2.5 yields

$$\left\|\varepsilon\partial_{xx}^{2}\eta(V_{\varepsilon})\right\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}\times\mathbb{R})} \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}c\left\|\eta'\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\|V_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\to 0,\tag{41}$$

$$\left\| \varepsilon \eta''(V_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}V_{\varepsilon})^{2} \right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}\times\mathbb{R})} \leq c \left\| \eta'' \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \|V_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})},$$

$$\left\| \eta'(V_{\varepsilon}) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq c \left\| \eta'' \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \|V_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})},$$

$$(42)$$

$$\|\eta'(V_{\varepsilon})\|_{L^{1}((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} \le c \|\eta'\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \|V_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}.$$
(43)

Therefore we know that (40) holds. Using Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we confirm that there exists a subsequence $\{V_{\varepsilon_n}\}$ and a limit function *V* satisfying (36) such that, as $n \to \infty$,

$$V_{\varepsilon_n} \to V \quad \text{in } L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}) \text{ for any } p \in [1, \infty),$$
(44)

and
$$V_{\varepsilon_n} \to V$$
 a.e. in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. (45)

Using Lemma 2.5, from (44) and (45) we obtain (37). The proof is finished.

Lemma 3.5 If $V_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, then there exists a function $Q(t,x) = [kV + (\frac{m}{2} - \frac{3}{4})V^2(t,x)]$ such that

$$Q_{\varepsilon_n} \to Q \quad in \, L^p([0,T); W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R})), T > 0, \forall p \in [1,\infty),$$

$$\tag{46}$$

where the sequence ε_n , and the function V are constructed in Lemma 3.4.

We omit the proof of Lemma 3.5 since it is similar to that of Lemma 4.4 in [22].

Theorem 3.6 Let $V_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists at least one entropy weak solution to problem (5).

Proof If $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$, then from (31) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(V_{\varepsilon} \partial_{t} f + \frac{3}{4} V_{\varepsilon}^{2} \partial_{x} f - \partial_{x} Q_{\varepsilon} f + \varepsilon V_{\varepsilon} \partial_{xx}^{2} f \right) dx \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{0,\varepsilon} f(0,x) \, dx = 0.$$
(47)

Using Lemmas 3.4, we make sure that the function *V* presented in Lemma 3.4 is a weak solution of problem (5) in the sense of Definition 2.6. We have to verify that *V* satisfies the entropy inequalities in Definition 2.7. Let $\eta \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ be a convex entropy with flux *q* defined by $q'(V) = \frac{3}{4}V\eta'(V)$. Using the convexity of η and problem (7) results in

$$\partial_t \eta(V_{\varepsilon}) + \partial_x q(V_{\varepsilon}) + \eta'(V_{\varepsilon}) \partial_x Q_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \eta(V_{\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon \eta''(V_{\varepsilon}) (\partial_x V_{\varepsilon})^2 \le \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \eta(V_{\varepsilon}).$$
(48)

Thus by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 it follows that the entropy inequality holds. The proof is finished. $\hfill \Box$

From Theorems 3.1 and 3.6 we have the following:

Theorem 3.7 Let $V_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then the Cauchy problem (5) has a unique entropy weak solution in the sense of Definition 2.7.

Funding

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11471263).

List of abbreviations

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Authors' contributions

The authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. They read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 16 December 2019 Accepted: 15 May 2020 Published online: 24 May 2020

References

1. Whitham, G.B.: Variational methods and applications to water waves. Proc. R. Soc. A 299, 6–25 (1967)

- Fornberg, G., Whitham, G.B.: A numerical and theoretical study of certain nonlinear wave phenomena. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 289, 373–404 (1978)
- Holmes, J., Thompson, R.C.: Well-posedness and continuity properties of the Fornberg–Whitham equation in Besov spaces. J. Differ. Equ. 263, 4355–4381 (2017)
- 4. Constantin, A., Escher, J.: Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations. Acta Math. 181, 229–243 (1998)
- 5. Haziot, S.V.: Wave breaking for the Fornberg–Whitham equation. J. Differ. Equ. 263, 8178–8185 (2017)
- Gao, X.J., Lai, S.Y., Chen, H.J.: The stability of solutions for the Fornberg–Whitham equation in L¹(ℝ) space. Bound. Value Probl. 2018, Article ID 142 (2018)
- Camassa, R., Holm, D.: An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons. Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1661–1664 (1993)
- Degasperis, A., Procesi, M.: Asymptotic integrability. In: Symmetry and Perturbation Theory, pp. 23–37. World Scientific, Singapore (1999)
- 9. Novikov, V.: Generalizations of the Camassa-Holm equation. J. Phys. A 42(34), Article ID 342002 (2009)
- Bressan, A., Constantin, A.: Global conservative solutions of the Camassa–Holm equation. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 183, 215–239 (2007)
- 11. Constantin, A., Ivanov, R.I.: Dressing method for the Degasperis–Procesi equation. Stud. Appl. Math. **138**, 205–226 (2017)
- 12. Eckhardt, J.: The inverse spectral transform for the conservative Camassa–Holm flow with decaying initial data. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 224, 21–52 (2017)
- Fu, Y., Liu, Y., Qu, C.Z.: On the blow-up structure for the generalized periodic Camassa–Holm and Degasperis–Procesi equation. J. Funct. Anal. 262, 3125–3158 (2012)
- Ma, C., Gao, Y., Guo, Z.: Large time behavior of momentum support for a Novikov type equation. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 22, Article ID 23 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11040-019-9317-5
- Guo, Z., Li, K., Xu, C.: On generalized Camassa–Holm type equation with (k + 1)-degree nonlinearities. Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 98, 1567–1573 (2018)
- Guo, Z., Li, X., Yu, C.: Some properties of solutions to the Camassa–Holm type equation with higher-order nonlinearities. J. Nonlinear Sci. 28, 1901–1914 (2018)
- 17. Guo, Z.: On an integrable Camassa–Holm type equation with cubic nonlinearity. Nonlinear Anal. 34, 225–232 (2017)
- Grayshan, K.: Peakon solutions of the Novikov equation and properties of the data-to-solution map. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 397, 515–521 (2013)
- 19. Liu, Y., Yin, Z.Y.: Global existence and blow-up phenomena for the Degasperis–Procesi equation. Commun. Math. Phys. 267, 801–820 (2006)
- Mi, Y.S., Mu, C.L.: On the Cauchy problem for the modified Novikov equation with peakon solutions. J. Differ. Equ. 254, 961–982 (2013)
- 21. Zhou, Y.: Blow-up solutions to the DGH equation. J. Funct. Anal. 250, 227–248 (2007)
- 22. Coclite, G.M., Karlsen, K.H.: On the well-posedness of the Degasperis–Procesi equation. J. Funct. Anal. 223, 60–91 (2006)
- Coclite, G.M., Karlsen, K.H., Holden, H.: Well-posedness for a parabolic–elliptic system. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 13, 659–682 (2005)
- 24. Kruzkov, S.N.: First order quasi-linear equations in several independent variables. Math. USSR Sb. 10, 217–243 (1970)
- Schonbek, M.E.: Convergence of solutions to nonlinear dispersive equations. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 7, 959–1000 (1982)
- Tartar, L.: Compensated compactness and applications to partial differential equations. In: Nonlinear Anal. Mech. Heriot–Watt Symposium, vol. IV, pp. 136–212. Pitman, Boston (1979)
- 27. Murat, F.: L'injection du cone positif de H^{-1} dans $W^{-1,q}$ est compacte pour tout q < 2. J. Math. Pures Appl. **60**, 309–322 (1981)