

RESEARCH

Open Access



Infinitely many homoclinic solutions for sublinear and nonperiodic Schrödinger lattice systems

Guanwei Chen^{1*} and Jijiang Sun²

*Correspondence:

guanweic@163.com

¹School of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Jinan, Jinan 250022,
Shandong Province, China
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

By using variational methods we obtain infinitely many nontrivial solutions for a class of nonperiodic Schrödinger lattice systems, where the nonlinearities are sublinear at both zero and infinity.

MSC: 35Q51; 35Q55; 39A12; 39A70

Keywords: Nonperiodic Schrödinger lattice systems; Infinitely many solutions; Unbounded potentials; Sublinear

1 Introduction and main results

Schrödinger lattice systems are a class of very important discrete models, ranging from biology and condensed matter physics to solid state physics [8, 10, 11]. In fact, most results are about the *periodic* Schrödinger lattice systems, such as [2, 4, 12–14, 18, 19, 24]. However, there are only few results about the *nonperiodic* Schrödinger lattice systems [5, 9, 15, 16, 22, 23]. In particular, in [3, 6, 7] the authors recently obtained the existence and multiplicity of homoclinic solutions for a class of Schrödinger lattice systems with perturbed terms.

In this paper, we investigate the nonperiodic Schrödinger lattice system

$$\begin{cases} -(\Delta u)_n + v_n u_n = \mu \chi_n |u_n|^{\mu-2} u_n, & n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mu \in (1, 2)$,

$$(\Delta u)_n := u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} - 2u_n, \quad (1.2)$$

$\{u_n\}$, $\{v_n\}$, and $\{\chi_n\}$ are real-valued sequences, and the discrete potential $V = \{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\chi_n\}$ are nonperiodic. A solution $u = \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is said to be nontrivial if $u_n \not\equiv 0$. Problem (1.1) appears when we look for standing wave (or breather) solutions of the Schrödinger

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

lattice system

$$i\dot{\psi}_n = -(\Delta\psi)_n + \tilde{v}_n\psi_n - \mu\chi_n|\psi_n|^{\mu-2}\psi_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\{\psi_n\}$ is a real-valued sequence. Standing waves (or breathers) are the solutions for (1.3) of the form $\psi_n = u_n e^{-i\omega t}$, where $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ is the temporal frequency, and u_n satisfies $\lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$. By the standing wave ansatz $\psi_n = u_n e^{-i\omega t}$ we get that (1.3) reduces to (1.1) with $v_n \equiv \tilde{v}_n - \omega$. Therefore we only need to study the existence of solutions of (1.1).

Let

$$\|u\|_{l^q} := \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |u_n|^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad \|u\|_{l^\infty} := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |u_n|, \quad u = \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

be the norms of the real sequence spaces $l^q := l^q(\mathbb{Z})$ ($q \in [1, \infty)$). The following embedding between such spaces is well known:

$$l^q \subset l^p, \quad \|u\|_{l^p} \leq \|u\|_{l^q}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty.$$

We study solutions of (1.1) in l^2 since any $u = \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l^2$ satisfies $\lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$.

Note that the domain of (1.1) is \mathbb{Z} , and thus, to overcome the loss of compactness caused by the unboundedness of the domain \mathbb{Z} , we need the following condition:

(V₁) $\lim_{|n| \rightarrow +\infty} v_n = +\infty$.

Then (V₁) implies that (see [21]) the spectrum $\sigma(-\Delta + V)$ is discrete and consists of simple eigenvalues accumulating to $+\infty$, that is, we can assume that

$$\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_k < \cdots \rightarrow +\infty$$

are all eigenvalues of $-\Delta + V$, where $((-\Delta + V)u)_n := -(\Delta u)_n + v_n u_n$ for $u = \{u_n\} \in l^2$.

Theorem 1.1 *System (1.1) has infinitely many nontrivial solutions if (V₁), and the following conditions hold:*

(W₁) $0 \notin \sigma(-\Delta + V)$.

(SG₁) $\chi := \{\chi_n > 0\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}}, \mu \in (1, 2)$.

Clearly, condition (W₁) implies that we have the following two cases:

(W'₁) $0 \in (\lambda_{k_0}, \lambda_{k_0+1})$ for some $k_0 \geq 1$ (the indefinite case);

(W''₁) $0 < \lambda_1$ (the positive definite case).

Remark 1.1 To the best of our knowledge, there is no result published concerning the multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for (1.1) with sublinear nonlinearities at both zero and infinity. For the nonperiodic system (1.1), the main differences between our and known results [5, 9, 15, 16, 22, 23] are as follows:

(1) The nonlinearities $g_n(s)$ in [5, 15, 16, 22, 23] are *superlinear* as $|s| \rightarrow 0$ ($\lim_{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g_n(s)}{s} = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$), and the nonlinearities $g_n(s)$ in [9] are *superlinear* or *asymptotically linear* ($\lim_{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g_n(s)}{s} = l_n \in (0, +\infty), \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$) as $|s| \rightarrow 0$. However, our nonlinearities $g_n(s) = \mu\chi_n|u_n|^{\mu-2}u_n$ are *sublinear* as $|s| \rightarrow 0$ ($\lim_{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g_n(s)}{s} = +\infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$).

(2) The nonlinearities $g_n(s)$ in [5, 9, 15, 22, 23] are *superlinear* as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$ ($\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_n(s)}{s} = +\infty$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$), and the nonlinearities $g_n(s)$ in [16] are *asymptotically linear* as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$ ($\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_n(s)}{s} = c_n \in (0, +\infty)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$). However, our nonlinearities $g_n(s) = \mu \chi_n |u_n|^{\mu-2} u_n$ are *sublinear* as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$ ($\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_n(s)}{s} = 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$).

(3) Our method is based on the variant fountain theorem in [25], which is different from the methods used in the papers mentioned.

In Sect. 2, we give some lemmas and the proofs of our main result. In Appendix, we give the proofs of the conditions in the critical point theorem used in this paper.

2 Proof of the main result

The corresponding action functional Φ of (1.1) is defined as follows:

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}(Lu, u)_{\ell^2} - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_n |u_n|^\mu, \quad u \in E,$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\ell^2}$ is the inner product in ℓ^2 , $L := -\Delta + V$, $E := \mathcal{D}(|L|^{1/2})$ is the form domain of L (the domain of $|L|^{1/2}$). Since the operator $-\Delta$ is bounded in ℓ^2 , we easily see that

$$E = \{u \in \ell^2 : |V|^{1/2}u \in \ell^2\}$$

with the inner product and norm

$$(u, v) := (|L|^{1/2}u, |L|^{1/2}v)_{\ell^2} = (-\Delta u, v)_{\ell^2} + (|V|^{1/2}u, |V|^{1/2}v)_{\ell^2}, \quad \|u\| := (u, u)^{1/2};$$

E is a Hilbert space, where $|V|^{1/2}u$ is defined by $(|V|^{1/2}u)_n := |v_n|^{1/2}u_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$). By (W_1) . We have the orthogonal decomposition

$$E = E^- \oplus E^+$$

with respect to both inner products (\cdot, \cdot) and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\ell^2}$, where $E^\pm := E \cap (\ell^2)^\pm$, and $(\ell^2)^\pm$ is the positive (negative) eigenspace of L .

Then the functional Φ can be rewritten as

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u^+\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u^-\|^2 - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_n |u_n|^\mu, \quad u \in E,$$

where $u = u^+ + u^- \in E = E^+ \oplus E^-$. Let $I(u) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_n |u_n|^\mu$. Under our assumptions, $I, \Phi \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ with derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle &= (u^+, v^+) - (u^-, v^-) - \langle I'(u), v \rangle, \\ \langle I'(u), v \rangle &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \mu \chi_n |u_n|^{\mu-2} u_n v_n, \quad u, v \in E, \end{aligned}$$

where $u = u^+ + u^-$, $v = v^+ + v^- \in E = E^+ \oplus E^-$. The standard argument shows that nonzero critical points of Φ are nontrivial solutions of (1.1). We will use the following critical point theorem.

Lemma 2.1 ([25]) *Let E be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and suppose $E = \overline{\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j}$ with $\dim X_j < \infty$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $Y_k = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k X_j$ and $Z_k = \overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} X_j}$. Assume that the functional $\Phi_\lambda = A(u) - \lambda B(u)$ ($\Phi_\lambda \in C^1$, $\Phi_\lambda : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in [1, 2]$) satisfies*

- (F₁) Φ_λ maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for $\lambda \in [1, 2]$, and $\Phi_\lambda(-u) = \Phi_\lambda(u)$ for all $(\lambda, u) \in [1, 2] \times E$;
 (F₂) $B(u) \geq 0$, $\forall u \in E$; and $B(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ on any finite-dimensional subspace of E .
 (F₃) There exist $\rho_k > r_k > 0$ such that

$$\alpha_k(\lambda) := \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\| = \rho_k} \Phi_\lambda(u) \geq 0 > \beta_k(\lambda) := \max_{u \in Y_k, \|u\| = r_k} \Phi_\lambda(u), \quad \forall \lambda \in [1, 2],$$

and

$$\xi_k(\lambda) := \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\| \leq \rho_k} \Phi_\lambda(u) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly for } \lambda \in [1, 2].$$

Then there exist $\lambda_j \rightarrow 1$ and $u^{\lambda_j} \in Y_j$ such that

$$\Phi'_{\lambda_j}|_{Y_j}(u^{\lambda_j}) = 0, \quad \Phi_{\lambda_j}(u^{\lambda_j}) \rightarrow \eta_k \in [\xi_k(2), \beta_k(1)] \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Particularly, if $\{u^{\lambda_j}\}$ has a convergent subsequence for every k , then Φ_1 has infinitely many nontrivial critical points $\{u^k\} \subset E \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $\Phi_1(u^k) \rightarrow 0^-$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

From (V₁), (W₁), and [21] we have that the eigenvalues of L are as follows:

$$\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_{k_0} < 0 < \lambda_{k_0+1} < \cdots \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{k_0}$ and $\{e_j\}_{j=k_0+1}^{\infty}$ be the orthonormal bases of E^- and E^+ , respectively ($E^- = \{0\}$ if $0 < \lambda_1$). Then $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis of E . Let $X_j := \text{span}\{e_j\}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then Z_k and Y_k can be defined as in Lemma 2.1. Let

$$A(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|u^+\|^2, \quad B(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|u^-\|^2 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_n |u_n|^\mu,$$

and

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) = A(u) - \lambda B(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u^+\|^2 - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2} \|u^-\|^2 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_n |u_n|^\mu \right)$$

for all $u = u^+ + u^-$, $v = v^+ + v^- \in E = E^+ \oplus E^-$ and $\lambda \in [1, 2]$. Obviously, $\Phi_\lambda \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ for all $\lambda \in [1, 2]$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Under our assumptions, the definition of Φ_λ implies that Φ_λ maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for $\lambda \in [1, 2]$. Evidently, $\Phi_\lambda(-u) = \Phi_\lambda(u)$ for all $(\lambda, u) \in [1, 2] \times E$, and thus (F₁) of Lemma 2.1 holds. Besides, Ax 3.1 and Ax 3.2 in the Appendix show that (F₂) and (F₃) of Lemma 2.1 hold for all $k \geq k_1$. Therefore by Lemma 2.1, for each $k \geq k_1$, there exist $\lambda_j \rightarrow 1$ and $u^{\lambda_j} \in Y_j$ such that

$$\Phi'_{\lambda_j}|_{Y_j}(u^{\lambda_j}) = 0, \quad \Phi_{\lambda_j}(u^{\lambda_j}) \rightarrow \eta_k \in [\xi_k(2), \beta_k(1)] \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Let

$$u^j := u^{\lambda_j}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (2.1), (SG₁), and the definition of Φ_{λ_j} ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Phi_{\lambda_j}(u^j) &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi'_{\lambda_j}|_{Y_j}(u^j), u^j \rangle - \Phi_{\lambda_j}(u^j) \\ &= \lambda_j \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) \chi_n |u_n^j|^\mu \\ &\geq \lambda_j \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) \theta \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |u_n^j|^\mu, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Relations (2.1), (2.2), and $\mu < 2$ imply that $\|u^j\|_{\mu^\mu} = (\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |u_n^j|^\mu)^{1/\mu} < \infty$. It follows from the equivalence of any two norms on finite-dimensional space E^- and the Hölder inequality that

$$\|(u^j)^-\|_{\ell^2}^2 = ((u^j)^-, u_j)_{\ell^2} \leq \|u^j\|_{\mu^\mu} \cdot \|(u^j)^-\|_{\mu^{\mu'}} \leq C_1 \|(u^j)^-\|_{\ell^2}$$

for some $C_1 > 0$, where μ' satisfies $1/\mu + 1/\mu' = 1$. Consequently, we have $\|(u^j)^-\|_{\ell^2} \leq C_1$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of the equivalence of norms $\|\cdot\|_{\ell^2}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ on E^- again, there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|(u^j)^-\| \leq C_2, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.3)$$

Obviously, the definition of Φ_{λ_j} implies

$$\|(u^j)^+\|^2 = 2\Phi_{\lambda_j}(u^j) + \lambda_j \|(u^j)^-\|^2 + 2\lambda_j \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_n |u_n^j|^\mu.$$

It follows from $\|u^j\|^2 = \|(u^j)^+\|^2 + \|(u^j)^-\|^2$ that

$$\|u^j\|^2 = 2\Phi_{\lambda_j}(u^j) + (\lambda_j + 1) \|(u^j)^-\|^2 + 2\lambda_j \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_n |u_n^j|^\mu,$$

which, together with (2.1), (2.3), (SG₁), and the fact E is compactly embedded into ℓ^2 (see [21]), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^j\|^2 &\leq C_3 + 4\|\chi\|_{\ell^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}}} \|u^j\|_{\ell^2}^\mu \\ &\leq C_3 + C_4 \|u^j\|^\mu \end{aligned}$$

for some $C_3, C_4 > 0$. This implies that $\{u^j\}$ is bounded in E since $\mu < 2$.

Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$u^j \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.4)$$

for some $u \in E$. By the Riesz representation theorem, $\Phi'_{\lambda_j}|_{Y_j} : Y_j \rightarrow Y_j^*$ and $I' : E \rightarrow E^*$ can be viewed as $\Phi'_{\lambda_j}|_{Y_j} : Y_j \rightarrow Y_j$ and $I' : E \rightarrow E$, respectively, where Y_j^* and E^* are the dual spaces of Y_j and E , respectively. Note that (2.1) implies that

$$0 = \Phi'_{\lambda_j}(u^j)|_{Y_j} = u^j - \lambda_j P_j I'(u^j), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $P_j : E \rightarrow Y_j$ is the orthogonal projection for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, that is,

$$u^j = \lambda_j P_j I'(u^j), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.5)$$

By the standard argument (see [1, 17]) we know that $I' : E \rightarrow E^*$ is compact. Therefore $I' : E \rightarrow E$ is also compact. It follows from (2.4) that the right-hand side of (2.5) converges strongly in E , and hence $u^j \rightarrow u$ in E .

Therefore $\{u^{\lambda_j}\}$ has a convergent subsequence in E for every $k \geq k_1$, and then Lemma 2.1 implies that Φ has infinitely many nontrivial solutions. \square

3 Conclusion

We obtain infinitely many nontrivial solutions for a class of non-periodic Schrödinger lattice systems with nonlinearities sublinear at both zero and infinity.

Appendix

Ax 3.1 $B(u) \geq 0, \forall u \in E, B(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ on any finite-dimensional subspace of E .

Proof Obviously, $B(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in E$ by (SG_1) and the definition of $B(u)$.

We claim that for any finite-dimensional subspace $H \subset E$, there exists a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sharp(\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |u_n|^\mu \geq \epsilon \|u\|^\mu\}) \geq 1, \quad \forall u \in H \setminus \{0\}, \quad (A.1)$$

where $\sharp(\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |u_n|^\mu \geq \epsilon \|u\|^\mu\})$ denotes the number of integers n such that $\chi_n |u_n|^\mu \geq \epsilon \|u\|^\mu$. If not, then for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $u^j \in H \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\sharp(\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |u_n^j|^\mu \geq \|u^j\|^\mu / j\}) = 0.$$

Let $v^j := \frac{u^j}{\|u^j\|} \in H$. Then $\|v^j\| = 1$, and

$$\sharp(\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |v_n^j|^\mu \geq 1/j\}) = 0, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (A.2)$$

Since $\{v^j\}$ is bounded, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $v^j \rightarrow v$ in E for some $v \in H$ (H is finite dimensional). Evidently, $\|v\| = 1$. Since any two norms on H are equivalent, we have

$$\|v^j - v\|_{\ell^2} = \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |v_n^j - v_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows by the Hölder inequality and $\chi \in l^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}}$ (see (SG_1)) that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_n |v_n^j - v_n|^\mu \leq \|\chi\|_{l^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}}} \|v^j - v\|_{l^2}^\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

In fact, since $\|v\| = 1$, there is a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sharp(\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |v_n|^\mu \geq \delta_0\}) \geq 1. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

If not, then

$$\sharp(\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |v_n|^\mu \geq 1/j\}) = 0, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It implies that

$$0 \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_n |v_n|^{\mu+2} = \sum_{n \in \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |v_n|^\mu < 1/j\}} \chi_n |v_n|^{\mu+2} \leq \frac{\|v\|_{l^2}^2}{j} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

which, together with (SG_1) , implies that $v = 0$. It is a contradiction to $\|v\| = 1$. Thus (A.4) holds. For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\Lambda_j := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |v_n^j|^\mu < 1/j\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_j^c := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \Lambda_j = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |v_n^j|^\mu \geq 1/j\}.$$

Set $\Lambda_0 := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |v_n|^\mu \geq \delta_0\}$. Then for j large enough, by (A.2), (A.4), and the definitions of Λ_0 and Λ_j^c we have

$$\sharp(\Lambda_j \cap \Lambda_0) \geq \sharp(\Lambda_0) - \sharp(\Lambda_j^c) \geq 1 - 0 = 1.$$

It follows from (SG_1) and the definitions of Λ_j and Λ_0 that for j large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_n |v_n^j - v_n|^\mu &\geq \sum_{n \in \Lambda_j \cap \Lambda_0} \chi_n |v_n^j - v_n|^\mu \\ &\geq \sum_{n \in \Lambda_j \cap \Lambda_0} \left(\frac{1}{2^\mu} \chi_n |v_n|^\mu - \chi_n |v_n^j|^\mu \right) \\ &\geq \sharp(\Lambda_j \cap \Lambda_0) \left(\frac{\delta_0}{2^\mu} - 1/j \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\delta_0}{2^{\mu+1}} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction to (A.3). Therefore (A.1) holds.

For ϵ given in (A.1), let

$$\Lambda_u := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |u_n|^\mu \geq \epsilon \|u\|^\mu\}, \quad \forall u \in H \setminus \{0\}.$$

It follows from (SG_1) , (A.1), and the definition of Λ_u that

$$B(u) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_n |u_n|^\mu \geq \sum_{n \in \Lambda_u} \chi_n |u_n|^\mu \geq \epsilon \|u\|^\mu \cdot \sharp(\Lambda_u) \geq \epsilon \|u\|^\mu, \quad \forall u \in H \setminus \{0\}.$$

This implies that $B(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ on any finite-dimensional subspace $H \subset E$. The proof is finished. \square

Ax 3.2 *There exist a positive integer k_1 and two sequences $0 < r_k < \rho_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that*

$$\alpha_k(\lambda) := \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\| = \rho_k} \Phi_\lambda(u) > 0, \quad \forall k \geq k_1, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\xi_k(\lambda) := \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\| \leq \rho_k} \Phi_\lambda(u) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly for } \lambda \in [1, 2], \quad (\text{A.6})$$

and

$$\beta_k(\lambda) := \max_{u \in Y_k, \|u\| = r_k} \Phi_\lambda(u) < 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where $Y_k = \bigoplus_{m=1}^k X_m = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ and $Z_k = \overline{\bigoplus_{m=k}^\infty X_m} = \overline{\text{span}\{e_k, \dots\}}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof (a) First, we show that (A.5) holds.

Note first that $Z_k \subset E^+$ for all $k \geq k_1 := k_0 + 1$, where k_0 is the integer defined in the paragraph just before the proof of Theorem 1.1. Thus by the definition of Φ_λ and the Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_n |u_n|^\mu \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - 2 \|\chi\|_{l^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}}} \|u\|_{l^2}^\mu, \quad \forall (\lambda, u) \in [1, 2] \times Z_k, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

for any $k \geq k_1$. Let

$$l(k) := \sup_{u \in Z_k \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{l^2}}{\|u\|}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

From [20] and the fact that E is compactly embedded into l^2 (see [21]) we get

$$l(k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

By (A.8) and (A.9) we have

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - 2 \|\chi\|_{l^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}}} l^\mu(k) \|u\|^\mu, \quad \forall (\lambda, u) \in [1, 2] \times Z_k, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

for any $k \geq k_1$. Let

$$\rho_k := \left(8 \|\chi\|_{l^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}}} l^\mu(k) \right)^{\frac{1}{2-\mu}}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

By (A.10) and the fact that $1 < \mu < 2$ we have

$$\rho_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Therefore by (A.11) and (A.12) we have

$$\alpha_k(\lambda) := \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\| = \rho_k} \Phi_\lambda(u) \geq \rho_k^2/4 > 0, \quad \forall k \geq k_1.$$

(b) Second, we show that (A.6) holds.

By (A.11) we have

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) \geq -2\|\chi\|_{l^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}}} l^\mu(k) \|u\|^\mu \geq -2\|\chi\|_{l^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}}} l^\mu(k) \rho_k^\mu, \quad \forall \lambda \in [1, 2],$$

for all $k \geq k_1$ and $u \in Z_k$ with $\|u\| \leq \rho_k$. Therefore we get

$$-2\|\chi\|_{l^{\frac{2}{2-\mu}}} l^\mu(k) \rho_k^\mu \leq \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\| \leq \rho_k} \Phi_\lambda(u) \leq 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in [1, 2], \forall k \geq k_1.$$

It follows from (A.10) and (A.13) that

$$\xi_k(\lambda) := \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\| \leq \rho_k} \Phi_\lambda(u) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly for } \lambda \in [1, 2].$$

(c) Finally, we show that (A.7) holds.

Note that Y_k is finite dimensional, and thus (A.1) implies that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $\epsilon_k > 0$ such that

$$\sharp(\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |u_n|^\mu \geq \epsilon_k \|u\|^\mu\}) \geq 1, \quad \forall u \in Y_k \setminus \{0\}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in Y_k$ with $\|u\| \leq \epsilon_k^{\frac{1}{2-\mu}}$, by the definition of Φ_λ and (A.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda(u) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u^+\|^2 - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_n |u_n|^\mu \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \sum_{n \in \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |u_n|^\mu \geq \epsilon_k \|u\|^\mu\}} \epsilon_k \|u\|^\mu \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \epsilon_k \|u\|^\mu \cdot \sharp(\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \chi_n |u_n|^\mu \geq \epsilon_k \|u\|^\mu\}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \epsilon_k \|u\|^\mu \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2, \quad \forall \lambda \in [1, 2]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Now for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if we choose

$$0 < r_k < \min\{\rho_k, \epsilon_k^{\frac{1}{2-\mu}}\},$$

then (A.15) implies that

$$\beta_k(\lambda) := \max_{u \in Y_k, \|u\| = r_k} \Phi_\lambda(u) \leq -r_k^2/2 < 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore the proof is finished by (a), (b), and (c). \square

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the referees and editors for their valuable comments and suggestions, which have led to an improvement of the presentation of this paper.

Funding

Research supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11771182), Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. ZR2017JL005).

Abbreviations

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Both authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Jinan, Jinan 250022, Shandong Province, China. ²Department of Mathematics, Nanchang University, Nanchang, 330031, Jiangxi Province, China.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 23 April 2020 Accepted: 17 December 2020 Published online: 11 January 2021

References

1. Benci, V., Rabinowitz, P.H.: Critical point theorems for indefinite functionals. *Invent. Math.* **52**, 241–273 (1979)
2. Chen, G., Ma, S.: Ground state and geometrically distinct solitons of discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations with saturable nonlinearities. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **131**, 389–413 (2013)
3. Chen, G., Ma, S.: Perturbed Schrödinger lattice systems: existence of homoclinic solutions. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A* **149**(4), 1083–1096 (2019)
4. Chen, G., Ma, S., Wang, Z.-Q.: Standing waves for discrete Schrödinger equations in infinite lattices with saturable nonlinearities. *J. Differ. Equ.* **261**, 3493–3518 (2016)
5. Chen, G., Schechter, M.: Non-periodic discrete Schrödinger equations: ground state solutions. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **67**(3), 1–15 (2016)
6. Chen, G., Schechter, M.: Non-periodic Schrödinger lattice systems with perturbed and asymptotically linear terms: negative energy solutions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **93**, 34–39 (2019)
7. Chen, G., Schechter, M.: Multiple solutions for non-periodic Schrödinger lattice systems with perturbation and super-linear terms. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **70**(152), 1–9 (2019)
8. Christodoulides, D.N., Lederer, F., Silberberg, Y.: Discretizing light behaviour in linear and nonlinear waveguide lattices. *Nature* **424**, 817–823 (2003)
9. Jia, L., Chen, G.: Discrete Schrödinger equations with sign-changing nonlinearities: infinitely many homoclinic solutions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **452**(1), 568–577 (2017)
10. Kopidakis, G., Aubry, S., Tsironis, G.P.: Targeted energy transfer through discrete breathers in nonlinear systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 165501 (2001)
11. Livi, R., Franzosi, R., Oppo, G.-L.: Self-localization of Bose–Einstein condensates in optical lattices via boundary dissipation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 060401 (2006)
12. Pankov, A.: Gap solitons in periodic discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Nonlinearity* **19**, 27–40 (2006)
13. Pankov, A.: Gap solitons in periodic discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations II: a generalized Nehari manifold approach. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **19**, 419–430 (2007)
14. Pankov, A.: Gap solitons in periodic discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations with saturable nonlinearities. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **371**, 254–265 (2010)
15. Pankov, A.: Standing waves for discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations: sign-changing nonlinearities. *Appl. Anal.* **92**(2), 308–317 (2013)
16. Pankov, A., Zhang, G.: Standing wave solutions for discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations with unbounded potentials and saturable nonlinearity. *J. Math. Sci.* **177**(1), 71–82 (2011)
17. Rabinowitz, P.H.: *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*. CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., vol. 65. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1986)
18. Shi, H.: Gap solitons in periodic discrete Schrödinger equations with nonlinearity. *Acta Appl. Math.* **109**, 1065–1075 (2010)

19. Shi, H., Zhang, H.: Existence of gap solitons in periodic discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **361**, 411–419 (2010)
20. Willem, M.: *Minimax Theorems*. Birkhäuser, Boston (1996)
21. Zhang, G., Pankov, A.: Standing waves of the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations with growing potentials. *Commun. Math. Anal.* **5**(2), 38–49 (2008)
22. Zhang, G., Pankov, A.: Standing wave solutions of the discrete non-linear Schrödinger equations with unbounded potentials, II. *Appl. Anal.* **89**(9), 1541–1557 (2010)
23. Zhou, Z., Ma, D.: Multiplicity results of breathers for the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations with unbounded potentials. *Sci. China Math.* **58**, 781–790 (2015)
24. Zhou, Z., Yu, J., Chen, Y.: On the existence of gap solitons in a periodic discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation with saturable nonlinearity. *Nonlinearity* **23**, 1727–1740 (2010)
25. Zou, W.: Variant fountain theorems and their applications. *Manuscr. Math.* **104**, 343–358 (2001)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)