

RESEARCH

Open Access



On three-dimensional Hall-magnetohydrodynamic equations with partial dissipation

Baoying Du^{1*}

*Correspondence:

Dubaoying99@163.com

¹Faculty of Science, Yibin University,
Yibin, Sichuan, 644000, P.R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we address the Hall-MHD equations with partial dissipation. Applying some important inequalities (such as the logarithmic Sobolev inequality using BMO space, bilinear estimates in BMO space, Young's inequality, cancellation property, interpolation inequality) and delicate energy estimates, we establish an improved blow-up criterion for the strong solution. Moreover, we also obtain the existence of the strong solution for small initial data, the smallness conditions of which are given by the suitable Sobolev norms.

MSC: 35L60; 35K55; 35Q80

Keywords: Hall-magnetohydrodynamics; Blow-up criterion; BMO norms; Small initial data; Partial dissipation

1 Introduction

The incompressible Hall-magnetohydrodynamic equations with full dissipation in three dimensions read as:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla(p + \pi) = \kappa_1 u_{x_1 x_1} + \kappa_2 u_{x_2 x_2} + \kappa_3 u_{x_3 x_3} + (B \cdot \nabla)B, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ B_t + (u \cdot \nabla)B = (B \cdot \nabla)u + \Delta B - \nabla \times ((\nabla \times B) \times B), \\ \operatorname{div} B = 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0, \quad B(0, x) = B_0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Here $u(t, x)$, $B(t, x)$ denote velocity field and magnetic field, respectively; $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$ are the kinematic viscosity, $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Compared to usual MHD system and the Boussinesq equations, Hall-MHD equations involve $\nabla \times ((\nabla \times B) \times B)$, it is Hall term and plays a crucial position in magnetic reconnection due to Ohm's law. Magnetic reconnection corresponds to changes in the topology of magnetic field lines, which are ubiquitously observed in space. The Hall term becomes important when large magnetic shear appears because it has second-order derivatives, and it restores the influence of the electric current in the Lorentz force occurring

© The Author(s) 2022. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

in Ohm's law, which was neglected in usual MHD. Therefore, Hall-MHD is very important for such problems as magnetic reconnection in neutron stars, geo-dynamo, space plasmas, and star formation. The paper [1] introduces the physical background to Hall-magnetohydrodynamics, and papers [7, 8, 13, 15–18, 24] present the recent progress of the Hall-MHD system.

The nonlinear Jordan–Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation with memory read as

$$\tau u_{ttt} + u_{tt} - c^2 \Delta u - \beta \Delta u_t - \int_0^t h(t-s) \Delta u(s) ds = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{B}{2A} (u_t)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right),$$

where $u = u(x, t)$ denotes the scalar acoustic velocity. The Jordan–Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation is one of the nonlinear sound equations that describe the propagation of sound waves in gases and liquids. Recent works on the Jordan–Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation can be found in [4, 12]. The Hall-MHD Eqs. (1) describe the magnetic properties for a conductive fluid moving in a magnetic field, in which magnetic reconnection happens in the case of large magnetic shear. In the Hall-MHD Eqs. (1), $u = u(x, t)$, $B = B(x, t)$ are non-dimensional quantities corresponding to the fluid velocity field, the magnetic field.

Many results on usual MHD system have been obtained in [10, 11, 14, 17, 21–23, 26–28, 30–33]. However, the Hall-MHD system had few results until recently. The paper [7] got the local existence and global small solutions for the Hall-magnetohydrodynamics. Some results on the Boussinesq and MHD equations with partial viscosity were obtained in [5, 6, 15, 24]. Two new blow-up criteria for the system (1) with $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \kappa_3 = 1$ were obtained by Chae and Lee in [8]. Fei and Xiang [19] got a blow-up criterion and small existence to (1) with $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 1$, $\kappa_3 = 0$.

The paper [20] established regularity criterion for the Hall-MHD equations without viscosity and full dissipation, the papers [2, 3] obtained regularity criterion for the Hall-MHD equations with full viscosity and full dissipation in different spaces. In this paper, we investigate the Hall-magnetohydrodynamic system with full viscosity and partial dissipation. Inspired by [8, 13, 19, 33], we find a new blow-up criterion for strong solution, which imposes the condition is $(u, \nabla B) \in L^2(0, T; \text{BMO})$. Additionally, we also get the existence of the strong solution for small initial data.

The first aim of this paper is to get blow-up criterion for the strong solution to (1) with $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$, $\kappa_3 = 0$.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that $\kappa_1 > 0$, $\kappa_2 > 0$, $\kappa_3 = 0$, $(u_0, B_0) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $\text{div } u_0 = \text{div } B_0 = 0$, let $T_0 < \infty$ be the first blow-up time to the problem (1), then*

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_0} (\|u(t)\|_{H^3}^2 + \|B(t)\|_{H^3}^2) = \infty,$$

is equivalent to

$$\int_0^{T_0} (\|u(t)\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 + \|\nabla B(t)\|_{\text{BMO}}^2) dt = \infty.$$

Remark 1.1 Compared to previous results, the blow-up condition $\int_0^{T_0} (\|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 + \|\nabla B\|_{\text{BMO}}^2) dt < \infty$ instead of $\int_0^{T^*} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^p}^q + \|\Delta B\|_{L^\beta}^\gamma) dt < \infty$ with $p, \beta \in (3, \infty]$ in [19].

Noticing the fact $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $p > 3$, thus the above blow-up criterion is meaningful.

Remark 1.2 The similar blow-up criterion can also be established for the system (1) with cases when $\kappa_1 = 0, \kappa_2, \kappa_3 > 0$ and $\kappa_1 > 0, \kappa_2 = 0, \kappa_3 > 0$.

Based on the Theorem 1.1, we can obtain the small initial data solutions to (1) with $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0, \kappa_3 = 0$.

Theorem 1.2 Suppose the conditions in Theorem 1.1 hold, there exists a universal positive constant ε^* , then (1) has a solution $(u, B) \in L^\infty(0, \infty; H^3(\mathbb{R}^3))$, provided that $\|u_0\|_{H^2} + \|B_0\|_{H^2} < \varepsilon^*$.

Remark 1.3 Compared to [19], the smallness condition $\|(u_0, B_0)\|_{H^2}$ instead of $\|(u_0, B_0)\|_{H^3}$ in [19] is sufficiently small.

2 Notations and preliminaries

Through the paper, ∂_k and u_k represent the k th components of ∇ and u , and the following simplified notation will be adopted throughout the paper:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \, dx &:= \int \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, x) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \, dx_3; & \|\cdot\|_k &:= \|\cdot\|_{L^k}; \\ f_0 &:= f(0, x); & \nabla_p &:= (\partial_1, \partial_2, 0); & u_p &:= (u_1, u_2, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Next, some lemmas are given.

Lemma 2.1 (See [9]) Let $f, g, h, \nabla_p f, \nabla_p g, \partial_3 h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} fgh \, dx \leq C \|f\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_p f\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_p g\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 h\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Lemma 2.2 (See [29]) Suppose $\nabla g \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then

$$\|\nabla g\|_{L^\infty} \leq C [\|\nabla g\|_{\text{BMO}} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}}(e + \|\nabla g\|_{W^{1,q}} + \|g\|_{L^\infty}) + 1],$$

here $q > 3$.

Lemma 2.3 (See [25, Lemma 1]) The bilinear estimates in BMO space, let $h_1, h_2 \in \text{BMO} \cap H^{|\zeta|+|\eta|}$. Then

$$\|\partial^\zeta h_1 \cdot \partial^\eta h_2\|_2 \leq C (\|h_1\|_{\text{BMO}} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{|\zeta|+|\eta|}{2}} h_2\|_2 + \|h_2\|_{\text{BMO}} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{|\zeta|+|\eta|}{2}} h_1\|_2),$$

where $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3)$, $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$, and $|\zeta|, |\eta| \geq 1$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We adopt the following notations: $\nabla^{\varrho} := \partial^{|\varrho|} / \partial_1^{\varrho_1} \partial_2^{\varrho_2} \partial_3^{\varrho_3}$, where $\varrho = (\varrho_1, \varrho_2, \varrho_3) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^3$ with $|\varrho| = \varrho_1 + \varrho_2 + \varrho_3 \leq 3$, $\kappa := \min\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$ and $\kappa_0 := \min\{\kappa, 1\}$.

Operating ∇^q on (1)₁ and (1)₃, and multiplying them by $\nabla^q u$ and $\nabla^q B$, respectively, and then integrating by parts, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u(t)\|_{H^3}^2 + \|B(t)\|_{H^3}^2) + \kappa_1 \|\partial_1 u\|_{H^3}^2 + \kappa_2 \|\partial_2 u\|_{H^3}^2 + \|\nabla B\|_{H^3}^2 \\ &= - \sum_{0 \leq |q| \leq 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^q [\nabla \times ((\nabla \times B) \times B)] \cdot \nabla^q B \, dx \\ & \quad - \sum_{0 \leq |q| \leq 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^q (u \cdot \nabla B) \cdot \nabla^q B \, dx - \sum_{0 \leq |q| \leq 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^q (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla^q u \, dx \\ & \quad + \sum_{0 \leq |q| \leq 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^q (B \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla^q B \, dx + \sum_{0 \leq |q| \leq 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^q (B \cdot \nabla B) \cdot \nabla^q u \, dx \\ &:= H_1 + H_2 + H_3 + H_4 + H_5. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\|\nabla B\|_{L^\infty} \leq C [\|\nabla B\|_{\text{BMO}} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} (e + \|\nabla B\|_{W^{1, \frac{7}{2}}} + \|B\|_{L^\infty}) + 1].$$

Noticing the fact that $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow W^{1, \frac{7}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we get

$$\|\nabla B\|_{L^\infty} \leq C [\|\nabla B\|_{\text{BMO}} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} (e + \|B\|_{H^3}) + 1].$$

By the above inequality, cancellation property and Young's inequality, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} |H_1| &\leq C \|B\|_{H^3} \|\nabla B\|_\infty \|\nabla B\|_{H^3} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla B\|_{H^3}^2 + C \|\nabla B\|_\infty^2 \|B\|_{H^3}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla B\|_{H^3}^2 + C (\ln(e + \|B\|_{H^3}) \|\nabla B\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 + 1) \|B\|_{H^3}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We apply cancellation property and Lemma 2.3 to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |H_2| &= \left| \sum_{0 \leq |q| \leq 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\nabla^q (u \cdot \nabla B) - (u \cdot \nabla) \nabla^q B] \cdot \nabla^q B \, dx \right| \\ &\leq C (\|B\|_{H^3} \|\nabla B\|_{H^3} \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} + \|B\|_{H^3} \|u\|_{H^3} \|\nabla B\|_{\text{BMO}}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla B\|_{H^3}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|B\|_{H^3}^2 + C \|\nabla B\|_{\text{BMO}} \|u\|_{H^3} \|B\|_{H^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

For H_3 , when $|q| = 0$, the H_3 have cancelled. When $|q| = 1$, by $\text{div } u = 0$, H_3 can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned} H_{31} &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \nabla u \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_p u \cdot \nabla) u \nabla_p u \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_p \cdot \nabla_p) u \partial_3 u \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_p \cdot u_p) \partial_3 u \partial_3 u \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus using the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.3, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
 |H_{31}| &\leq C \|\nabla u \nabla_p u\|_2 \|\nabla u\|_2 \\
 &\leq C \|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2 \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\nabla u\|_2 \\
 &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^1} \|u\|_{H^1} \\
 &\leq \frac{3\kappa}{36} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^1}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^1}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

When $|\varrho| = 2$, one can write H_3 as

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{32} &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla^2 u \cdot \nabla) u \nabla^2 u \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla) \nabla u \nabla^2 u \, dx \\
 &= H_{321} + H_{322}
 \end{aligned}$$

H_{321}, H_{322} can be further decomposed into three parts, respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{321} &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \nabla_p u \cdot \nabla) u \nabla \nabla_p u \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3^2 u_p \cdot \nabla_p) u \partial_3^2 u \, dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \nabla_p \cdot u_p) \partial_3 u \partial_3^2 u \, dx \\
 &= H_{3211} + H_{3212} + H_{3213}. \\
 H_{322} &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_p u \cdot \nabla) \nabla u \nabla \nabla_p u \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_p \cdot \nabla_p) \nabla u \partial_3^2 u \, dx \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_p \cdot u_p) \partial_3 \nabla u \partial_3^2 u \, dx \\
 &= H_{3221} + H_{3222} + H_{3223}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |H_{3211}| &\leq C \|\nabla_p \nabla u \nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla^2 u\|_2 \\
 &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2 \|\nabla^2 u\|_2 \\
 &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^2} \|u\|_{H^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{\kappa}{36} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^2}^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |H_{3212}| &\leq C \|\nabla_p u \partial_3^2 u\|_2 \|\partial_3^2 u\|_2 \\
 &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2 \|\nabla^2 u\|_2 \\
 &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^2} \|u\|_{H^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{\kappa}{36} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^2}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Similarly to H_{3211} and H_{3212} , we have

$$|H_{3213}, H_{3221}, H_{3222}, H_{3223}| \leq \frac{\kappa}{36} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^2}^2. \tag{8}$$

Collecting (7), (8), and (9), we have

$$|H_{32}| \leq \frac{6\kappa}{36} \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^2}^2. \quad (9)$$

When $|\varrho| = 3$, we rewrite H_3 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} H_{33} &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla^3 u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \nabla^3 u \, dx - 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla^2 u \cdot \nabla) \nabla u \cdot \nabla^3 u \, dx \\ &\quad - 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla) \nabla^2 u \cdot \nabla^3 u \, dx \\ &= H_{331} + H_{332} + H_{3233}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} H_{331} &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla^2 \nabla_p u \cdot \nabla) u \nabla^2 \nabla_p u \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3^3 u_p \cdot \nabla_p) u \partial_3^3 u \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3^2 \nabla_p \cdot u_p) \partial_3 u \partial_3^3 u \, dx \\ &= H_{3311} + H_{3312} + H_{3313}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_{332} &= -3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla^2 u \cdot \nabla) \nabla_p u \nabla^2 \nabla_p u \, dx - 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3^2 u_p \cdot \nabla_p) \partial_3 u \partial_3^3 u \, dx \\ &\quad + 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \nabla_p \cdot u_p) \partial_3^2 u \partial_3^3 u \, dx \\ &= H_{3321} + H_{3322} + H_{3323}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.3, and Young's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{3311}| &\leq C \|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u \nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\nabla^3 \nabla_p u\|_2 \|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^3} \|u\|_{H^3} \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{36} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^3}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^3}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{3312}| &\leq C \|\partial_3^3 u_p \nabla_p u\|_2 \|\partial_3^3 u\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\nabla_p \nabla^3 u\|_2 \|\nabla^3 u\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^3} \|u\|_{H^3} \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{36} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^3}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^3}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Similarly to (11) and (12), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{3321}| &\leq C \|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2 \|\nabla^2 u \nabla_p \nabla u\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla^3 \nabla_p u\|_2 \|\nabla^2 \nabla_p u\|_2 \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{36} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^3}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^3}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{3322}| &\leq C \|\partial_3^2 u_p \nabla_p \partial_3 u\|_2 \|\partial_3^3 u\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\nabla_p \nabla^3 u\|_2 \|\nabla^3 u\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{36} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^3}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^3}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

One can estimate H_{3313} , H_{3323} as H_{3312} , H_{3322} to get

$$|H_{3313}, H_{3323}| \leq \frac{\kappa}{36} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^3}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^3}^2. \quad (14)$$

Clearly, H_{333} can be similarly estimated as H_{331} , so we have

$$|H_{333}| \leq \frac{3\kappa}{36} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^3}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^3}^2. \quad (15)$$

Putting (10)–(15) together, we obtain

$$|H_{33}| \leq \frac{9\kappa}{36} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^3}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^3}^2. \quad (16)$$

Combining (5), (9), and (16), we get

$$|H_3| \leq \frac{18\kappa}{36} \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^3}^2 + C \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^3}^2. \quad (17)$$

Applying cancellation property and integration by parts, one can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} H_4 + H_5 &= \sum_{0 \leq |\ell| \leq 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\nabla^\ell (Bu) - B \nabla^\ell u] \cdot \nabla \nabla^\ell B + [\nabla^\ell (B \cdot DB) - (B \cdot D) \nabla^\ell B] \cdot \nabla^\ell u \, dx \\ &= H_{41} + H_{42}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.3, and Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{41}| &\leq C \|\nabla B\|_{H^3} (\|u\|_{H^3} \|B\|_{\text{BMO}} + \|B\|_{H^3} \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla B\|_{H^3}^2 + C (\|B\|_{H^3}^2 + \|u\|_{H^3}^2) (\|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 + \|B\|_{\text{BMO}}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{42}| &\leq C \|B\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\nabla B\|_{H^3} \|u\|_{H^3} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla B\|_{H^3}^2 + C \|B\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \|u\|_{H^3}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Collecting (18) and (19), we have

$$|H_4 + H_5| \leq \frac{2}{8} \|\nabla B\|_{H^3}^2 + C(\|B\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 + \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2)(\|u\|_{H^3}^2 + \|B\|_{H^3}^2). \quad (20)$$

Combining (2)–(4), (17), and (20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}(\|u\|_{H^3} + \|B\|_{H^3}) + \kappa \|\nabla_p u\|_{H^3} + \|\nabla B\|_{H^3} \\ & \leq C(1 + \|B\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 + \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 + \|\nabla B\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 \ln(e + \|B\|_{H^3}))(\|u\|_{H^3}^2 + \|B\|_{H^3}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Setting $R(t) := e + \|u\|_{H^3} + \|B\|_{H^3}$, from (21), one obtains

$$\frac{d}{dt}R(t) \leq C(\|\nabla B\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 + \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 + C)R(t) \ln R(t).$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality, one gets

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} R(t) \leq (\|u_0\|_{H^3}^2 + \|B_0\|_{H^3}^2 + e) \exp\left(C \exp\left(\int_0^T \|u\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 + \|\nabla B\|_{\text{BMO}}^2 dt\right)\right),$$

which implies the blow-up criterion in Theorem 1.1 holds.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Operating ∇ to (1)₁, (1)₃, taking the scalar product of them with ∇u , ∇B , one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla B\|_2^2) + \kappa_1 \|\partial_1 \nabla u\|_2^2 + \kappa_2 \|\partial_2 \nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\Delta B\|_2^2 \\ & = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla [\nabla \times ((\nabla \times B) \times B)] \cdot \nabla B dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla (u \cdot \nabla B) \cdot \nabla B dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla (B \cdot \nabla B) \cdot \nabla u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla (B \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla B dx \\ & := K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4 + K_5. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Firstly, applying the Hölder inequality, commutator estimate and interpolation, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} |K_1| & \leq C \|\nabla((\nabla \times B) \times B) - \nabla(\nabla \times B) \times B\|_{\frac{5}{5}} \|\nabla^2 B\|_6 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla B\|_2 \|\nabla B\|_3 \|\nabla^2 B\|_6 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla B\|_2 \|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

here we use the fact that $\|\nabla B\|_3 \leq C \|B\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 B\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\|\nabla^2 B\|_6 \leq C \|\nabla^3 B\|_2$ due to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality. By the Hölder inequality, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} |K_2| & \leq C \|\nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla B\|_4^2 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla^2 B\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Reviewing H_{31} in Sect. 3, we know $K_3 = H_{31}$. Hence, applying Lemma 2.1, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} |K_3| &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_p u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_p u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_p u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_p u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2^2 \|\nabla u\|_2. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$K_4 + K_5$ can be written into two parts:

$$K_4 + K_5 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla B \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla B \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla B \cdot \nabla B) \cdot \nabla u \, dx.$$

By the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |K_4 + K_5| &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla B\|_4^2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla^2 B\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Combining (22)–(26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla B(t)\|_2^2) + \kappa \|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\Delta B\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C (\|\nabla B\|_2 + \|\nabla u\|_2) (\|\Delta B\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2^2) + C \|\nabla B\|_2 \|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Similarly to derivation of (22), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta u(t)\|_2^2 + \|\Delta B(t)\|_2^2) + \kappa_1 \|\partial_1 \Delta u\|_2^2 + \kappa_2 \|\partial_2 \Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2 \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} D^2 [\nabla \times ((\nabla \times B) \times B)] \cdot D^2 B \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} D^2 (u \cdot \nabla B) \cdot D^2 B \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} D^2 (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot D^2 u \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} D^2 (B \cdot \nabla u) \cdot D^2 B \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} D^2 (B \cdot \nabla B) \cdot D^2 u \, dx \\ &:= E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4 + E_5. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

We apply cancellation property, the Hölder inequality, commutator estimate to estimate E_1 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |E_1| &\leq C \|D^2 [(\nabla \times B) \times B] - D^2 (\nabla \times B) \times B\|_2 \|\nabla^3 B\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla B\|_3 \|\Delta B\|_6 \|\nabla^3 B\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|\Delta B\|_2 \|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

E_2 can be split into two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (D^2 u \cdot \nabla) B \cdot D^2 B \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Du \cdot \nabla) \nabla B \cdot D^2 B \, dx \\ &= E_{21} + E_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing the fact that $\|\nabla B\|_3 \leq C\|B\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^3 B\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\|\nabla^2 B\|_6 \leq C\|\nabla^3 B\|_2$ due to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality, we have

$$|E_{21}| \leq C\|\nabla^2 u\|_2\|\nabla B\|_3\|\nabla^2 B\|_6 \leq C\|\nabla^2 u\|_2\|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2, \quad (30)$$

and

$$|E_{22}| \leq C\|\nabla u\|_3\|\nabla^2 B\|_3^2 \leq C\|\nabla^2 u\|_2^{\frac{3}{4}}\|\nabla^3 B\|_2^{\frac{5}{3}} \leq C\|\nabla^2 u\|_2\|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2. \quad (31)$$

Collecting (30) and (31), we have

$$|E_2| \leq C\|\nabla^2 u\|_2\|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2. \quad (32)$$

Obviously, $E_3 = H_{32}$, hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_3 &= H_{32} \\ &= H_{321} + H_{322} \\ &= H_{3211} + H_{3212} + H_{3213} + H_{3221} + H_{3222} + H_{3223}. \end{aligned}$$

One can use the Hölder inequality to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{3211}| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_p \nabla u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \nabla_p \nabla u dx \right| \\ &\leq C\|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_p^2 \nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\partial_3 \nabla_p \nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2\|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2\|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2\|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2^2\|\nabla^2 u\|_2. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{3212}| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3^2 u_p \cdot \nabla_p) u \cdot \partial_3^2 u dx \right| \\ &\leq C\|\partial_3^2 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_p u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\partial_3^2 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_p \partial_3^2 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_p \partial_3 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_p \partial_3^2 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2\|\nabla^2 u\|_2\|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2\|\nabla_p u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2^2\|\nabla^2 u\|_2. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{3213}| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \nabla_p \cdot u_p) \partial_3 u \cdot \partial_3^2 u dx \right| \\ &\leq C\|\partial_3 \nabla_p \cdot u_p\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\partial_3 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\partial_3^2 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_p \partial_3^2 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_p \partial_3 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_p \partial_3^2 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2\|\nabla^2 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2\|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla^2 u\|_2^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2^2\|\nabla^2 u\|_2. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Similarly to the above calculation, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
 |H_{3221}| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_p u \cdot \nabla) \nabla u \cdot \nabla_p \nabla u \, dx \right| \\
 &\leq C \|\nabla_p u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_p^2 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_p \partial_3 \nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq C \|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2 \|\nabla^2 u\|_2 \|\nabla_p u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq C \|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 u\|_2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

In similar manner as H_{3213} and H_{3212} , one gets

$$|H_{3222}, H_{3223}| \leq C \|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 u\|_2. \tag{37}$$

Combining (33)–(37), we have

$$|E_3| \leq C \|\nabla_p \nabla^2 u\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 u\|_2. \tag{38}$$

One can split $E_4 + E_5$ into four terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_4 + E_5 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla^2 B \cdot \nabla) B \cdot \nabla^2 u \, dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla B \cdot \nabla) \nabla B \cdot \nabla^2 u \, dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla^2 B \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla^2 B \, dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla B \cdot \nabla) \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 B \, dx \\
 &= E_{41} + E_{42} + E_{43} + E_{44}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to E_{21} and E_{22} , one has

$$|E_{41}, E_{42}, E_{43}, E_{44}| \leq C \|\nabla^2 u\|_2 \|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2.$$

Hence, one gets

$$|E_4 + E_5| \leq C \|\nabla^2 u\|_2 \|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2. \tag{39}$$

Combining (28), (29), (32), (38), and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta u(t)\|_2^2 + \|\Delta B(t)\|_2^2) + \kappa \|\nabla_p \Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2 \\
 &\leq C (\|\Delta u\|_2 + \|\Delta B\|_2) (\|\nabla_p \Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Adding (27) to (40), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla B\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\Delta B\|_2^2 + \|\Delta u\|_2^2) \\
 &\quad + \kappa \|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\Delta B\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla_p \Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2 \\
 &\leq C (\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|\nabla B\|_2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_2 + \|\nabla^2 B\|_2) \\
 &\quad \times (\|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\Delta B\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_p \Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla B\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\Delta B\|_2^2 + \|\Delta u\|_2^2) \\ & + [\kappa_0 - C(\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|\nabla B\|_2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_2 + \|\nabla^2 B\|_2)] \\ & \times (\|\nabla_p \nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\Delta B\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_p \Delta u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^3 B\|_2^2) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa_0 = \min\{\kappa, 1\}$. Choose ε^* sufficiently small such that

$$C(\|\nabla u_0\|_2 + \|\nabla B_0\|_2 + \|\Delta u_0\|_2 + \|\Delta B_0\|_2) \leq \frac{\kappa_0}{2}.$$

Then one obtains:

$$(B, u) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2), (\nabla_p u, \nabla B) \in L^2(0, T; H^2), \quad \forall T \in (0, T_0),$$

noticing

$$H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

yields for any $T \in (0, T_0)$

$$(\nabla B, u) \in (0, T; \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

By Theorem 1.1, applying continuation argument, we obtain the result of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgements

The author is indebted to the referee and the associate editor for their detailed comments and valuable suggestions, which greatly improved the manuscript. The author is also grateful to Prof. Lili Du for useful direction on this paper. This research was supported by High-level Talent Sailing Project of Yibin University (2021QH07).

Funding

This research was supported by High-level Talent Sailing Project of Yibin University (2021QH07).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

BD prepared the manuscript initially and performed all the steps of the proofs in this research. The main idea of this paper was proposed by BD. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 18 November 2021 Accepted: 4 January 2022 Published online: 24 January 2022

References

1. Acheritogaray, M., Degond, P., Frouvelle, A., Liu, J.-G.: Kinetic formulation and global existence for the Hall-magnetohydrodynamic system. *Kinet. Relat. Models* **4**, 908–918 (2011)
2. Agarwal, R.P., Alghamdi, A.M., Gala, S., Ragusa, M.A.: On the continuation principle of local smooth solution for the Hall-MHD equations. *Appl. Anal.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036811.2020.1753711>

3. Alghamdi, A.M., Gala, S., Ragusa, M.A.: A regularity criterion of smooth solution for the 3D viscous Hall-MHD equations. *AIMS Math.* **3**(4), 565–574 (2018)
4. Boulaaras, S., Choucha, A., Ouchenane, D.: General decay and well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the Jordan–Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation with memory. *Filomat* **35**(5), 1745–1773 (2021)
5. Cao, C., Wu, J.: Global regularity for the 2D MHD equations with mixed partial dissipation and magnetic diffusion. *Adv. Math.* **226**, 1803–1822 (2011)
6. Chae, D.: Global regularity for the 2D Boussinesq equations with partial viscosity terms. *Adv. Math.* **203**, 497–513 (2006)
7. Chae, D., Degond, P., Liu, J.-G.: Well-posedness for Hall-magnetohydrodynamics. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* **31**, 555–565 (2014)
8. Chae, D., Lee, J.: On the blow-up criterion and small data global existence for the Hall-magnetohydrodynamics. *J. Differ. Equ.* **256**, 3835–3858 (2014)
9. Chemin, J.Y., Desjardins, B., Gallagher, I., Grenier, E.: Fluids with anisotropic viscosity. *Modél. Math. Anal. Numér.* **34**, 315–335 (2000)
10. Cheng, J., Du, L.: On two-dimensional magnetic Bénard problem with mixed partial viscosity. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **17**, 769–797 (2015)
11. Cheng, J., Liu, Y.: Global regularity of the 2D magnetic-micropolar fluid flows with mixed partial viscosity. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **70**, 66–72 (2015)
12. Choucha, A., Boulaaras, S., Ouchenane, D., Abdalla, M., Mekawy, I.: Existence and uniqueness for Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation with, source terms, viscoelastic memory and integral condition. *AIMS Math.* **6**(7), 7585–7624 (2021)
13. Du, B.: Global regularity for the $2\frac{1}{2}$ -D incompressible Hall-MHD system with partial dissipation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **484**, 123701 (2020)
14. Du, L., Lin, H.: Regularity criteria for incompressible magnetohydrodynamics equations in three dimensions. *Nonlinearity* **26**, 219–239 (2013)
15. Du, L., Zhou, D.: Global well-posedness of two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic flows with partial dissipation and magnetic diffusion. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **47**, 1562–1589 (2015)
16. Dumas, E., Sueur, F.: On the weak solutions to the Maxwell–Landau–Lifshitz equations and to Hall-magnetohydrodynamic equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **330**, 1179–1225 (2014)
17. Duvaut, G., Lions, J.: Inéquations en thermoélasticité et magnétohydrodynamique. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **46**, 241–279 (1972)
18. Fan, J., Huang, S., Nakamura, G.: Well-posedness for the axisymmetric incompressible viscous Hall-magnetohydrodynamic equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **26**, 963–967 (2013)
19. Fei, M., Xiang, Z.: On the blow-up criterion and small data global existence for the Hall-magnetohydrodynamics with horizontal dissipation. *J. Math. Phys.* **56**, 051504 (2015)
20. Gala, S., Galakhov, E., Ragusa, M.A., Salieva, O.: Beale–Kato–Majda regularity criterion of smooth solutions for the Hall-MHD equations with zero viscosity. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00574-021-00256-7>
21. Gala, S., Ragusa, M.A., Sawano, Y., Tanaka, H.: Uniqueness criterion of weak solutions for the dissipative quasi-geostrophic equations in Orlicz–Morrey spaces. *Appl. Anal.* **93**(2), 356–368 (2014)
22. He, C., Xin, Z.: On the regularity of weak solutions to the magnetohydrodynamic equations. *J. Differ. Equ.* **213**, 235–252 (2005)
23. He, C., Xin, Z.: Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions to the incompressible magnetohydrodynamic equations. *J. Funct. Anal.* **227**, 113–152 (2005)
24. Homann, H., Grauer, R.: Bifurcation analysis of magnetic reconnection in Hall-MHD systems. *Physica D* **208**, 59–72 (2005)
25. Kozono, H., Taniuchi, Y.: Bilinear estimates in BMO and the Navier–Stokes equations. *Mat. Ž.* **235**, 173–194 (2000)
26. Lin, F., Xu, L., Zhang, P.: Global small solutions to 2-D incompressible MHD system. *J. Differ. Equ.* **259**, 5440–5485 (2015)
27. Ma, L.: On two-dimensional incompressible magneto-micropolar system with mixed partial viscosity. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **40**, 95–129 (2018)
28. Ma, L.: Global existence of three-dimensional incompressible magneto-micropolar system with mixed partial dissipation, magnetic diffusion and angular viscosity. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **75**, 170–186 (2018)
29. Mjda, A.J., Bertozzi, A.L.: Vorticity and Incompressible Flow. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001)
30. Piskin, E., Irkil, N.: Well-posedness results for a sixth-order logarithmic Boussinesq equations. *Filomat* **33**(13), 3985–4000 (2019)
31. Sermange, M., Teman, R.: Some mathematical questions related to the MHD equations. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **36**, 635–664 (1983)
32. Xu, L., Zhang, P.: Global small solutions to three-dimensional incompressible MHD system. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **47**(1), 26–65 (2015)
33. Ye, X., Zhu, M.: Global regularity for 3D MHD system with partial viscosity and magnetic diffusion terms. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **458**, 980–991 (2018)