

RESEARCH

Open Access

Existence of nontrivial weak homoclinic orbits for second-order impulsive differential equations

Hui Fang* and Hongbo Duan

*Correspondence:
kmustfanghui@hotmail.com
Department of Mathematics,
Kunming University of Science and
Technology, Kunming, Yunnan
650500, China

Abstract

A sufficient condition is obtained for the existence of nontrivial weak homoclinic orbits of second-order impulsive differential equations by employing the mountain pass theorem, a weak convergence argument and a weak version of Lieb's lemma.

1 Introduction

Fečkan [1], Battelli and Fečkan [2] studied the existence of homoclinic solutions for impulsive differential equations by using perturbation methods. Tang *et al.* [3–6] studied the existence of homoclinic solutions for Hamiltonian systems via variational methods. In recent years, many researchers have paid much attention to multiplicity and existence of solutions of impulsive differential equations via variational methods (for example, see [7–12]). However, few papers have been published on the existence of homoclinic solutions for second-order impulsive differential equations via variational methods.

In this paper, we consider the following impulsive differential equations:

$$q''(t) + V'(t, q(t)) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (t_j, t_{j+1}), j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$q'(t_j^+) - q'(t_j^-) = I(q(t_j)), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $V : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^1 , $V(t, 0) = V'(t, 0) = 0$ with $V'(t, x) = (\partial V / \partial x)(t, x)$, and $I \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $I(0) = 0$. \mathbb{Z} denotes the set of all integers, and t_j ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$) are impulsive points. Moreover, there exist a positive integer p and a positive constant T such that $0 < t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{p-1} < T$, $t_{l+kp} = t_l + kT$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. $q'(t_j^+) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} q'(t_j + h)$ and $q'(t_j^-) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} q'(t_j - h)$ represent the right and left limits of $q'(t)$ at $t = t_j$ respectively.

We say that a function $q(t)$ is a weak homoclinic orbit of Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2) if q satisfies (1.1) and

$$q \in \left\{ q \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |q(t_j)|^2 < +\infty, q' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), q(\pm\infty) = 0, q(kT) = 0, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Motivated by the works of Nieto and Regan [7], Smets and Willem [13], in this paper we study the existence of nontrivial weak homoclinic orbits of (1.1)-(1.2) by using the mountain pass theorem, a weak version of Lieb's lemma and a weak convergence argument. Our method is different from those of [8, 9].

The main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2) satisfy the following conditions:*

(H₁) *There exists a positive number T such that*

$$V'(t + T, x) = V'(t, x), \quad V(t + T, x) = V(t, x), \quad \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2;$$

(H₂) $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{V'(t, x)}{x} = 0$ *uniformly for* $t \in \mathbb{R}$;

(H₃) *There exists a constant $\mu > 2$ such that*

$$xV'(t, x) \geq \mu V(t, x) > 0, \quad \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\};$$

(H₄) *There exist constants $a_0 > 0$ and $a_1 > 0$ such that*

$$V(t, x) \geq a_0|x|^\mu, \quad \text{for any } |x| \geq 1, t \in \mathbb{R};$$

$$V(t, x) \leq a_1|x|^\mu, \quad \text{for any } |x| \leq 1, t \in \mathbb{R};$$

(H₅) *There exists a constant b, with $0 < b < \frac{\mu-2}{(\mu+2)T^p}$, such that*

$$|I(x)| \leq b|x|,$$

and

$$2 \int_0^x I(t) dt - I(x)x \leq 0.$$

Then there exists a nontrivial weak homoclinic orbit of Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2).

Remark 1.1 (H₂) implies that $q(t) \equiv 0$ is an equilibrium of (1.1)-(1.2).

Remark 1.2 Set $V(t, x) = (2 + \sin t)x^4$, $I(x) = \frac{x}{10\pi p}$. It is easy to see that $V(t, x)$, $I(x)$ satisfy (H₁)-(H₅).

2 Proof of main results

Lemma 2.1 (Mountain pass lemma [14]) *Let E be a Banach space and $\varphi \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$, $e \in E$, $r > 0$ be such that $\|e\| > r$ and*

$$b := \inf_{\|y\|=r} \varphi(y) > \varphi(0) \geq \varphi(e).$$

Let

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], E) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e\},$$

$$d := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \varphi(\gamma(t)).$$

Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$, there exists $y \in E$ such that

- (V₁) $d - 2\varepsilon \leq \varphi(y) \leq d + 2\varepsilon$;
- (V₂) $\text{dist}(y, E) \leq 2\delta$;
- (V₃) $\|\varphi'(y)\| \leq \frac{8\varepsilon}{\delta}$.

In what follows, l^2 denotes the space of sequences whose second powers are summable on \mathbb{Z} (the set of all integers), that is,

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_j|^2 < +\infty, \quad \forall a = \{a_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \in l^2.$$

The space l^2 is equipped with the following norm:

$$\|a\|_{l^2} = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We now prove some technical lemmas.

Lemma 2.2 *The space*

$$H := \left\{ q \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : \{q(t_j)\}_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \in l^2, q' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), q(\pm\infty) = 0, q(kT) = 0, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$(q_1, q_2)_H = \int_{\mathbb{R}} q_1'(t) q_2'(t) dt, \quad (2.2)$$

and the corresponding norm

$$\|q\|_H = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |q'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof Let $\{q_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in H , then $\{q_n'\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and there exists $y \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\{q_n'\}$ converges to y in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Define the function $q(t)$ as follows:

$$q(t) = \int_{kT}^t y(s) ds, \quad kT \leq t < (k+1)T, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} q(kT - h) = \int_{(k-1)T}^{kT} y(s) ds.$$

Since $q_n(kT) = 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{(k-1)T}^{kT} y(s) ds \right| &= \left| \int_{(k-1)T}^{kT} y(s) ds - [q_n(kT) - q_n((k-1)T)] \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{(k-1)T}^{kT} [y(s) - q_n'(s)] ds \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_{(k-1)T}^{kT} |y(s) - q'_n(s)| ds \\ &\leq T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{(k-1)T}^{kT} |y(s) - q'_n(s)|^2 ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} |y(s) - q'_n(s)|^2 ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\int_{(k-1)T}^{kT} y(s) ds = 0$, that is, $q(kT^-) = 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, q is continuous. Thus, $q \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $q' = y$.

Noticing that, for $kT \leq t < (k+1)T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |q(t)|^2 &= \left| \int_{kT}^t y(s) ds \right|^2 \leq \left[\int_{kT}^{(k+1)T} |y(s)| ds \right]^2 \\ &\leq T \int_{kT}^{(k+1)T} |y(s)|^2 ds = T \int_{kT}^{+\infty} |y(s)|^2 ds - T \int_{(k+1)T}^{+\infty} |y(s)|^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $q(\pm\infty) = 0$. On the other hand, since

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |q(t_j)|^2 = \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} |q(t_{l+kp})|^2,$$

and $kT < t_{l+kp} = t_l + kT < (k+1)T$ ($l = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$), we have

$$|q(t_{l+kp})|^2 = \left| \int_{kT}^{t_{l+kp}} y(s) ds \right|^2 \leq T \int_{kT}^{(k+1)T} |y(s)|^2 ds.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |q(t_j)|^2 \leq \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} T \int_{kT}^{(k+1)T} |y(s)|^2 ds = pT \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y(s)|^2 ds < +\infty.$$

Consequently, $q \in H$ and $\{q_n\}$ converges to q in H . The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.3 For any $q \in H$, the following inequalities hold:

$$|q|_{\infty} := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |q(t)| \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|q\|_H, \quad |q|_2 := \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(t)|^2 dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq T \|q\|_H.$$

Furthermore, $q \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|q\|_{H^1} &:= \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|q(t)|^2 + |q'(t)|^2) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq [T^2 + 1]^{\frac{1}{2}} \|q\|_H, \\ \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |q(t_j)|^2 &\leq Tp \|q\|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists an integer k such that $(k - 1)T \leq t < kT$. Then it follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} |q(t)| &= |q(kT) - q(t)| \leq \int_t^{kT} |q'(s)| ds \leq \int_{(k-1)T}^{kT} |q'(s)| ds \\ &\leq T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{(k-1)T}^{kT} |q'(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|q\|_H, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $|q|_\infty \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|q\|_H$.

Furthermore, from the above argument, we have

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{(k-1)T}^{kT} |q(t)|^2 dt \leq T^2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{(k-1)T}^{kT} |q'(t)|^2 dt = T^2 \|q\|_H^2,$$

that is, $|q|_2 \leq T \|q\|_H$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |q'|_2 &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |q'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|q\|_H, \\ \|q\|_{H^1} &= \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|q(t)|^2 + |q'(t)|^2) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq [T^2 + 1]^{\frac{1}{2}} \|q\|_H. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |q(t_j)|^2 &= \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} |q(t_l + kT)|^2 = \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \int_{t_l+kT}^{(k+1)T} q'(s) ds \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\int_{kT}^{(k+1)T} |q'(s)| ds \right]^2 \\ &\leq pT \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{kT}^{(k+1)T} |q'(s)|^2 ds \\ &= pT \|q\|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Define the functional $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$\varphi(q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |q'(t)|^2 dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(t, q(t)) dt + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{q(t_j)} I(s) ds, \quad q \in H. \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 2.4 *If (H₁)-(H₅) hold, then $\varphi \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$ and*

$$\langle \varphi'(q), h \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} q'(t)h'(t) dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}} V'(t, q(t))h(t) dt + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(q(t_j))h(t_j), \quad \forall h \in H. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof From the continuity of V, V' and (H_2) - (H_3) , we see that, for each $\gamma > 0$, there exists $C_\gamma > 0$, such that

$$|V'(t, x)| \leq C_\gamma |x|, \quad |V(t, x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} C_\gamma |x|^2, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, |x| \leq \gamma.$$

Since $q(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $\rho_\gamma > 0$ such that

$$|q(t)| \leq \gamma, \quad \text{whenever } |t| \geq \rho_\gamma.$$

Therefore, we have

$$|V'(t, q(t))| \leq C_\gamma |q(t)|, \quad |V(t, q(t))| \leq \frac{1}{2} C_\gamma |q(t)|^2, \quad \text{for all } |t| \geq \rho_\gamma.$$

It follows from (H_5) that, $\forall q, h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(q(t_j))h(t_j) \right| &\leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |I(q(t_j))| |h(t_j)| \leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} b |q(t_j)| |h(t_j)| \\ &\leq b \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |q(t_j)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(t_j)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \int_0^{q(t_j)} I(s) ds \right| \leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\min\{0, q(t_j)\}}^{\max\{0, q(t_j)\}} |I(s)| ds \leq \frac{b}{2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |q(t_j)|^2 < +\infty. \tag{2.6}$$

Thus, φ and the right hand of (2.5) is well defined on H . By the definition of Fréchet derivative, it is easy to see that $\varphi \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$ and (2.5) holds. \square

Lemma 2.5 *If $q \in H$ is a critical point of the functional φ , then q satisfies (1.1).*

Proof If $q \in H$ is a critical point of the functional φ , then for any $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$0 = \langle \varphi'(q), h \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} q'(t)h'(t) dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}} V'(t, q(t))h(t) dt + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(q(t_j))h(t_j).$$

$\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$, take $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $h(t) = 0$ for any $t \in (-\infty, t_j] \cup [t_{j+1}, +\infty)$, and $h \in C_0^\infty([t_j, t_{j+1}])$. Therefore, we have

$$0 = \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} q'(t)h'(t) dt - \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} V'(t, q(t))h(t) dt,$$

by the definition of the weak derivative, which implies

$$q''(t) + V'(t, q(t)) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } (t_j, t_{j+1}). \tag{2.7}$$

Hence, the critical point $q \in H$ of the functional φ satisfies (1.1). The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.6 *Under the assumptions (H₁)-(H₅), there exists $e \in H$ and $r > 0$ such that $\|e\|_H > r$ and*

$$b := \inf_{\|y\|_H=r} \varphi(y) > \varphi(0) \geq \varphi(e).$$

Proof If $q \in H$ and $\|q\|_H \leq \frac{1}{T^2}$, then, by Lemma 2.3, $|q|_\infty \leq 1$. Hence, by (H₅) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{q(t_j)} I(s) ds &\geq - \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\min\{0,q(t_j)\}}^{\max\{0,q(t_j)\}} |I(s)| ds \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} b|q(t_j)|^2 \geq -\frac{1}{2} bTp \|q\|_H^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(q(t_j))q(t_j) \leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |I(q(t_j))||q(t_j)| \leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} b|q(t_j)|^2 \leq bTp \|q\|_H^2. \tag{2.9}$$

It follows from (2.8), (H₄) and Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(q) &= \frac{1}{2} \|q\|_H^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(t, q(t)) dt + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{q(t_j)} I(s) ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|q\|_H^2 - a_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(t)|^\mu dt - \frac{1}{2} bTp \|q\|_H^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|q\|_H^2 - a_1 |q|_\infty^{\mu-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(t)|^2 dt - \frac{1}{2} bTp \|q\|_H^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - bTp) \|q\|_H^2 - a_1 T^{\frac{\mu+2}{2}} \|q\|_H^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as $\mu > 2$ and $b < \frac{\mu-2}{(\mu+2)Tp} < \frac{1}{Tp}$, there exists $r > 0$ such that $\inf_{\|q\|_H=r} \varphi(q) > 0$.

Now, let $v \in H \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda > 1$. Then there exists a subset (a, b) of \mathbb{R} and λ large enough such that

$$\lambda|v(t)| > 1, \quad \text{for all } t \in (a, b).$$

Since $V(t, \lambda v(t)) \geq 0$, by (2.4), (H₄) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\lambda v) &\leq \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v(t)|^2 dt - \int_a^b V(t, \lambda v(t)) dt + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{\lambda v(t_j)} I(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \|v\|_H^2 - a_0 \lambda^\mu \int_a^b |v(t)|^\mu dt + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} bTp \|v\|_H^2 \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (1 + bTp) \|v\|_H^2 - a_0 \lambda^\mu \int_a^b |v(t)|^\mu dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu > 2$, the right-hand member is negative of λ sufficiently large, and there exists $e := \lambda v \in H$ such that $\|e\|_H > r$, $\varphi(e) \leq 0$. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.7 *Under the assumptions (H₁)-(H₅), there exists a bounded sequence {q_n} in H such that*

$$\varphi(q_n) \rightarrow d, \quad \varphi'(q_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{dist}(q_n, H) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $d := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \varphi(\gamma(t))$, $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e\}$. Furthermore, q_n does not converge to 0 in measure.

Proof All we have to prove is that any sequence {q_n} obtained by taking $\varepsilon = 1/n^2$ and $\delta = 1/n$ in Lemma 2.1 is bounded and q_n does not converge to 0 in measure. For n sufficiently large, it follows from (H₃), (H₅), (2.4), (2.5), (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} d + 1 + \|q_n\|_H &\geq \varphi(q_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle \varphi'(q_n), q_n \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |q'_n(t)|^2 dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[V(t, q_n(t)) - \frac{1}{\mu} V'(t, q_n(t)) q_n(t) \right] dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{q_n(t_j)} I(s) ds - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(q_n(t_j)) q_n(t_j) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|q_n\|_H^2 - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mu V(t, q_n(t)) - V'(t, q_n(t)) q_n(t)] dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{q_n(t_j)} I(s) ds - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(q_n(t_j)) q_n(t_j) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|q_n\|_H^2 - \frac{bTp}{2} \|q_n\|_H^2 - \frac{bTp}{\mu} \|q_n\|_H^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{bTp}{2} - \frac{bTp}{\mu} \right) \|q_n\|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $b < \frac{\mu-2}{(\mu+2)Tp}$, {q_n} is bounded in H.

Let $a_2 := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\|q_n\|_H\}$. By (H₂) and (H₃), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} V'(t, u)u - V(t, u) = o(u^2), \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies

$$a_3 := \sup_{|u| \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}} a_2} \frac{\frac{1}{2} V'(t, u)u - V(t, u)}{u^2} < \infty.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for $|u| \leq \delta$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} V'(t, u)u - V(t, u) \right| \leq \varepsilon u^2.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{1}{2} V'(t, q_n) q_n - V(t, q_n) \right] dt \\ &= \left[\int_{|q_n(t)| > \delta} + \int_{|q_n(t)| \leq \delta} \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} V'(t, q_n) q_n - V(t, q_n) \right] dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \text{meas}\{|q_n(t)| > \delta\} a_3 |q_n|_\infty^2 + \varepsilon |q_n|_2^2 \\ &\leq \text{meas}\{|q_n(t)| > \delta\} T a_2^2 a_3 + \varepsilon T^2 a_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

If q_n converges to 0 in measure on R , then it follows from (H_5) and (2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < d &= \varphi(q_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \varphi'(q_n), q_n \rangle + o(1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{1}{2} V'(t, q_n) q_n - V(t, q_n) \right] dt + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{q_n(t_j)} I(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(q_n(t_j)) q_n(t_j) + o(1) \\ &\leq \text{meas}\{|q_n(t)| > \delta\} T a_2^2 a_3 + \varepsilon T^2 a_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[2 \int_0^{q_n(t_j)} I(s) ds - I(q_n(t_j)) q_n(t_j) \right] + o(1) \\ &\leq \text{meas}\{|q_n(t)| > \delta\} T a_2^2 a_3 + \varepsilon T^2 a_2^2 + o(1) \\ &= o(1), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. The proof is complete. □

The following lemma is similar to a weak version of Lieb's lemma [15], which will play an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.8 *If $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in H and u_n does not converge to 0 in measure, then there exist a sequence $\{x_{n_k}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}$ of $\{u_n\}$ such that*

$$u_{n_k}(\cdot + x_{n_k} T) \rightharpoonup u \neq 0 \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Proof If

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{t \in [qT-T, qT+T]} |u_n(t)| = 0,$$

then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that, for $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\sup_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{t \in [qT-T, qT+T]} |u_n(t)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$|u_n(t)| \leq \varepsilon,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{meas}\{t \in \mathbb{R} : |u_n(t)| > \varepsilon\} = 0,$$

a contradiction. Therefore, there exist a constant $\rho > 0$ and a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{t \in [xT-T, xT+T]} |u_{n_k}(t)| > \rho, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers. So, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_{n_k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [x_{n_k} T - T, x_{n_k} T + T]} |u_{n_k}(t)| > \rho.$$

Let $v_{n_k}(t) = u_{n_k}(t + x_{n_k} T)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in H , by Lemma 2.3, it is easy to see that $\{v_{n_k}\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, $\{v_{n_k}\}$ has a subsequence which weakly converges to u in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $v_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, $v_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1([-T, T])$. Therefore, v_{n_k} uniformly converges to u in $[-T, T]$. Noticing that

$$\sup_{t \in [-T, T]} |v_{n_k}(t)| = \sup_{t \in [-T, T]} |u_{n_k}(t + x_{n_k} T)| = \sup_{t \in [x_{n_k} T - T, x_{n_k} T + T]} |u_{n_k}(t)| > \rho,$$

we have

$$\sup_{t \in [-T, T]} |u(t)| \geq \rho,$$

that is, $u \neq 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 By Lemma 2.7, there exists a bounded $\{q_n\}$ in H such that

$$\varphi(q_n) \rightarrow d, \quad \varphi'(q_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{dist}(q_n, H) \rightarrow 0,$$

and $\{q_n\}$ does not converge to 0 in measure on \mathbb{R} , where d is the mountain pass value. By Lemma 2.8, there exists a sequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ in \mathbb{Z} such that

$$\omega_k := q_{n_k}(\cdot + x_{n_k} T) \rightharpoonup \omega \neq 0 \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

For any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, set $s = t + x_{n_k} T$ and $h_k(s) := h(s - x_{n_k} T)$. Then $s_j := t_j + x_{n_k} T$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$) are impulsive points and

$$\|h_k\|_H = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h'_k(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h'(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|h\|_H.$$

For any $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $h(kT) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi'(\omega_k), h \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega'_k(t) h'(t) dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}} V'(t, \omega_k(t)) h(t) dt + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(\omega_k(t_j)) h(t_j) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [q'_{n_k}(t + x_{n_k} T) h'(t) - V'(t, q_{n_k}(t + x_{n_k} T)) h(t)] dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(q_{n_k}(t_j + x_{n_k} T)) h(t_j) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [q'_{n_k}(s) h'(s - x_{n_k} T) - V'(s - x_{n_k} T, q_{n_k}(s)) h(s - x_{n_k} T)] ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(q_{n_k}(s_j)) h(s_j - x_{n_k} T) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [q'_{n_k}(s)h'(s - x_{n_k}T) - V'(s, q_{n_k}(s))h(s - x_{n_k}T)] ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(q_{n_k}(s_j))h(s_j - x_{n_k}T) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [q'_{n_k}(s)h'_k(s) - V'(s, q_{n_k}(s))h_k(s)] ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(q_{n_k}(s_j))h_k(s_j) \\
 &= \langle \varphi'(q_{n_k}), h_k \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$|\langle \varphi'(\omega_k), h \rangle| = |\langle \varphi'(q_{n_k}), h_k \rangle| \leq \|\varphi'(q_{n_k})\| \cdot \|h_k\|_H = \|\varphi'(q_{n_k})\| \cdot \|h\|_H,$$

which implies

$$\langle \varphi'(\omega_k), h \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.11}$$

Since $H \subset H^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\omega_k \rightharpoonup \omega$ in H , therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega'_k h' \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega' h'. \tag{2.12}$$

As $\omega_k \rightharpoonup \omega$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\{\omega_k\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and hence $|\omega_k|_{\infty} \leq c$ for some $c > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, $\{\omega_k\}$ uniformly converges to ω on $\text{supp}(h)$ and, V' being uniformly continuous on $\text{supp}(h) \times [-c, c]$, $V'(t, \omega_k)h$ uniformly converges to $V'(t, \omega)h$ on $\text{supp}(h) \times [-c, c]$. By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, this implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} V'(t, \omega_k)h \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} V'(t, \omega)h. \tag{2.13}$$

For any $h \in H$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, take J_0 sufficiently large such that

$$\left(\sum_{j=J_0+1}^{+\infty} |h(t_j)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-J_0-1} |h(t_j)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since $\omega_k \rightharpoonup \omega$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\omega_k \rightharpoonup \omega$ in $H^1([t_{-J_0}, t_{J_0}])$, therefore ω_k uniformly converges to ω in $[t_{-J_0}, t_{J_0}]$. By the continuity of I , there exists $K > 0$ such that, when $k > K$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{j=-J_0}^{J_0} [I(\omega_k(t_j)) - I(\omega(t_j))]h(t_j) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since

$$|I(\omega_k(t_j))| \leq b|\omega_k(t_j)|, \quad |I(\omega(t_j))| \leq b|\omega(t_j)|,$$

it follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{j=J_0+1}^{+\infty} [I(\omega_k(t_j)) - I(\omega(t_j))]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \sqrt{2b} \left(\sum_{j=J_0+1}^{+\infty} [|\omega_k(t_j)|^2 + |\omega(t_j)|^2] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \sqrt{2b} [Tp(\|\omega_k\|_H^2 + \|\omega\|_H^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq 2b\sqrt{Tp} \max \left\{ \sup_k \|\omega_k\|_H, \|\omega\|_H \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-J_0-1} [I(\omega_k(t_j)) - I(\omega(t_j))]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2b\sqrt{Tp} \max \left\{ \sup_k \|\omega_k\|_H, \|\omega\|_H \right\}.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} [I(\omega_k(t_j)) - I(\omega(t_j))]h(t_j) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{j=J_0+1}^{+\infty} [I(\omega_k(t_j)) - I(\omega(t_j))]h(t_j) \right| + \left| \sum_{j=-J_0}^{J_0} [I(\omega_k(t_j)) - I(\omega(t_j))]h(t_j) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-J_0-1} [I(\omega_k(t_j)) - I(\omega(t_j))]h(t_j) \right| \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=J_0+1}^{+\infty} |I(\omega_k(t_j)) - I(\omega(t_j))|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=J_0+1}^{+\infty} |h(t_j)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left| \sum_{j=-J_0}^{J_0} [I(\omega_k(t_j)) - I(\omega(t_j))]h(t_j) \right| \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-J_0-1} |I(\omega_k(t_j)) - I(\omega(t_j))|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-J_0-1} |h(t_j)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \left[1 + 4b\sqrt{Tp} \max \left\{ \sup_k \|\omega_k\|_H, \|\omega\|_H \right\} \right] \varepsilon, \quad \forall k > K. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(\omega_k(t_j))h(t_j) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} I(\omega(t_j))h(t_j). \tag{2.14}$$

From (2.11)-(2.14), we have

$$\langle \varphi'(\omega), h \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi'(\omega_k), h \rangle = 0.$$

Thus, $\varphi'(\omega) = 0$ and ω is a nontrivial weak homoclinic orbit of (1.1)-(1.2). □

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10971085). The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve the manuscript.

Received: 25 July 2012 Accepted: 7 November 2012 Published: 26 November 2012

References

1. Fečkan, M: Chaos in singularly perturbed impulsive O.D.E. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.*, B **10**, 175-198 (1996)
2. Battelli, F, Fečkan, M: Chaos in singular impulsive O.D.E. *Nonlinear Anal.* **28**, 655-671 (1997)
3. Tang, XH, Xiao, L: Homoclinic solutions for nonautonomous second order Hamiltonian systems with a coercive potential. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **351**, 586-594 (2009)
4. Tang, XH, Xiao, L: Homoclinic solutions for a class of second-order Hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**, 1140-1152 (2009)
5. Tang, XH, Lin, XY: Homoclinic solutions for a class of second-order Hamiltonian systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **354**, 539-549 (2009)
6. Tang, XH, Lin, XY: Existence of infinitely many homoclinic orbits in Hamiltonian systems. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A* **141**, 1103-1119 (2011)
7. Nieto, J, O'Regan, D: Variational approach to impulsive differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **10**, 680-690 (2009)
8. Zhang, H, Li, ZX: Periodic and homoclinic solutions generated by impulses. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **1**, 39-51 (2011)
9. Han, X, Zhang, H: Periodic and homoclinic solutions generated by impulses for asymptotically linear and sublinear Hamiltonian system. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **235**, 1531-1541 (2011)
10. Chen, H, Sun, J: An application of variational method to second-order impulsive differential equation on the half-line. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **217**, 1863-1869 (2010)
11. Sun, J, Chen, H, Yang, L: The existence and multiplicity of solutions for an impulsive differential equation with two parameters via a variational method. *Nonlinear Anal.* **73**, 440-449 (2010)
12. Luo, Z, Xiao, J, Xu, J: Subharmonic solutions with prescribed minimal period for some second-order impulsive differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **75**, 2249-2255 (2012)
13. Smets, D, Willem, M: Solitary waves with prescribed speed on infinite lattices. *J. Funct. Anal.* **149**, 266-275 (1997)
14. Brezis, H, Nirenberg, L: Remarks on finding critical points. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **64**, 939-963 (1991)
15. Lieb, EH: On the lowest eigenvalue of the Laplacian for the intersection of two domains. *Invent. Math.* **74**, 441-448 (1983)

doi:10.1186/1687-2770-2012-138

Cite this article as: Fang and Duan: Existence of nontrivial weak homoclinic orbits for second-order impulsive differential equations. *Boundary Value Problems* 2012 **2012**:138.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com